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## Definition

A class of algebras $\mathbb{K}$ has the finite embeddability property (FEP) if every finite partial subalgebra $\mathbf{B}$ of any algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{K}$ is embeddable into a finite algebra $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{K}$.
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$\mathbf{A} \not \models \Phi \Longrightarrow \mathbf{B}=$ eval. of subterms $\Longrightarrow \mathbf{D} \not \vDash \Phi$.
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- Farulewski's proof uses methods from proof-theory and also from algebra.
- Recall that $\mathbb{R O G}$ forms an algebraic semantics for nonassociative Lambek calculus NL.

```
Lemma (Buszkowski 2005)
Let }\mathcal{S}\cup{X[Z]=>C} be a finite set of sequents and T the set of all
subformulas occuring in S}\cup{X[Z]=>C}. If S F FNL X[Z]=>C, then ther
exists an interpolant D\inT such that }\mathcal{S}\mp@subsup{\vdash}{NL}{}X[D]=>C an
S }\mp@subsup{\vdash}{\textrm{NL}}{}Z=>D
```

Note that $Z$ is a tree of formulas unlike $D$ which is a single formula.
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A residuated distributive lattice-ordered groupoid (rdlog)
$\mathbf{A}=\langle A, \wedge, \vee, \cdot, \backslash, /\rangle$ is a rog such that $\langle A, \wedge, \vee\rangle$ is a distributive lattice.

Theorem
Every rog A embeds into a rdlog $\mathcal{O}(\mathbf{A})$ via $x \mapsto \downarrow\{x\}$.

Corollary
FEP for rdlogs $\Longrightarrow$ FEP for rogs.
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\mathbf{D}=\langle D, \wedge, \vee, \circ, \|, / /\rangle \text { is a rdlog. }
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## Theorem

Let $\mathbb{R D L D G}$ be the class of rdlogs. Then $\mathbb{R} D \mathrm{LOG}$ has the FEP. The same holds for $\mathbb{R O G}$.

## Corollary

The universal theories $\mathrm{Th}_{\forall}(\mathbb{R D L O G}), \mathrm{Th}_{\forall}(\mathbb{R O G})$ are decidable.

- What about computational complexity of $\mathrm{Th}_{\forall}(\mathbb{R} \mathbb{R L D G})$ ?
- Buszkowski 2005 proved that the set of quasi-inequalities valid in $\mathbb{R O G}$ is in PTIME.
- Buszkowski, Farulewski 2008 claim that the quasi-equational theory of $\mathbb{R D L Q} \mathbb{G}$ is in 2-EXPTIME.
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## Duality for finite bounded distributive lattices

- Size of countermodel is doubly exponential in $n=|B|$.
- To represent a finite $n$-generated distributive lattice $\mathbf{L}$, it suffices to store its poset of join-irreducibles $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{L})$.

- Thus $|\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{L})|$ is bounded by $2^{n}-2$ (the number of join-irreducibles in the free $n$-generated distributive lattice).
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Having a finite rdlog $\mathbf{A}$, we define $\operatorname{Stone}(\mathbf{A})=\left\langle\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{A}), \leq, R_{\circ}\right\rangle$, where

$$
R_{\circ} x y z \quad \text { iff } \quad z \leq x y .
$$

Then Stone $(\mathbf{A})$ is a frame.
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To represent an $n$-generated rdlog $\mathbf{A}$, it suffices to store $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{A})$ of cardinality $m \leq 2^{n}-2$ and a relation $R \circ$ of size $m^{3}$.
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Define $R$ as a set of pairs $\langle\Phi, \mathcal{C}\rangle$, where the universal formula $\Phi$ is not valid in $\mathbb{R D L O G}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is a frame $\mathbf{W}$ together with an evaluation e such that $\operatorname{Pred}(\mathbf{W}) \not \vDash \Phi[e]$.

## Theorem

The universal theory $\mathrm{Th}_{\forall}(\mathbb{R} \mathbb{D L O}(\mathbb{G})$ is in coNEXPTIME.
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- One may add into the signature a unit 1 . Then every frame $\mathbf{W}$ have to be endowed with a unary relation $U \subseteq W$ such that for all $x, y, z \in W$ :
- $z \leq x$ iff there is $u \in U$ such that $R_{0} x u z$,
- $z \leq y$ iff there is $u \in U$ such that $R_{0} u y z$.
- Any combination of the following structural rules is preserved:
- weakening $(x \leq 1)$,
- contraction $\left(x \leq x^{2}\right)$,
- exchange $(x y=y x)$.


## Semilinear rdlogs

## Definition

A rdlog is semilinear if it is a subdirect product of totally ordered rdlogs.

## Semilinear rdlogs

## Definition

A rdlog is semilinear if it is a subdirect product of totally ordered rdlogs.

## Theorem

The universal theory of totally ordered algebras in $\mathbb{R D L O G}$ is coNP-complete.

## Semilinear rdlogs

## Definition

A rdlog is semilinear if it is a subdirect product of totally ordered rdlogs.

## Theorem

The universal theory of totally ordered algebras in $\mathbb{R D L O G}$ is coNP-complete.

Corollary
The quasi-equational theory of semilinear rdlogs is coNP-complete.
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Problem
Does the class of residuated lattice-ordered groupoids have the FEP?

## Thank you!

