

Holland's Theorem for Idempotent Semirings and Applications to Residuated Lattices

Rostislav Horčík
joint work with Nikolaos Galatos

Institute of Computer Science
Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic

Ordered Groups and Lattices in Algebraic Logic
Tbilisi 2011

Outline

1 Motivation

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Idempotent semirings

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Idempotent semirings
- 3 Idempotent semimodules

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Idempotent semirings
- 3 Idempotent semimodules
- 4 Holland's theorem for idempotent semirings

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Idempotent semirings
- 3 Idempotent semimodules
- 4 Holland's theorem for idempotent semirings
- 5 FEP for integral idempotent semirings

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Idempotent semirings
- 3 Idempotent semimodules
- 4 Holland's theorem for idempotent semirings
- 5 FEP for integral idempotent semirings
- 6 Applications to residuated lattices
 - Conuclei
 - Cayley's and Holland's theorem
 - FEP

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Idempotent semirings
- 3 Idempotent semimodules
- 4 Holland's theorem for idempotent semirings
- 5 FEP for integral idempotent semirings
- 6 Applications to residuated lattices
 - Conuclei
 - Cayley's and Holland's theorem
 - FEP

Motivation

Theorem (Cayley 1854)

Every group \mathbf{G} is embeddable into $\mathbf{Sym}(G)$.

Motivation

Theorem (Cayley 1854)

Every group \mathbf{G} is embeddable into $\mathbf{Sym}(G)$.

Theorem (Holland 1963)

*Every ℓ -group can be embedded in the ℓ -group $\mathbf{Aut}(C)$ of the order-automorphisms on a *chain* \mathbf{C} .*

Motivation

Theorem (Cayley 1854)

Every group \mathbf{G} is embeddable into $\mathbf{Sym}(G)$.

Theorem (Holland 1963)

*Every ℓ -group can be embedded in the ℓ -group $\mathbf{Aut}(C)$ of the order-automorphisms on a *chain* \mathbf{C} .*

Theorem (Anderson-Edwards 1984)

Every distributive ℓ -monoid can be embedded in the ℓ -monoid $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{C})$ of the order-preserving maps on a chain \mathbf{C} .

Motivation

Theorem (Cayley 1854)

Every group \mathbf{G} is embeddable into $\mathbf{Sym}(G)$.

Theorem (Holland 1963)

*Every ℓ -group can be embedded in the ℓ -group $\mathbf{Aut}(C)$ of the order-automorphisms on a *chain* \mathbf{C} .*

Theorem (Anderson-Edwards 1984)

Every distributive ℓ -monoid can be embedded in the ℓ -monoid $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{C})$ of the order-preserving maps on a chain \mathbf{C} .

Theorem (Paoli-Tsinakis 2010)

Every distributive residuated lattice in which multiplication distributes over meets can be embedded as ℓ -monoid into $\mathbf{Res}(\mathbf{C})$ for a complete chain \mathbf{C} .

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Idempotent semirings**
- 3 Idempotent semimodules
- 4 Holland's theorem for idempotent semirings
- 5 FEP for integral idempotent semirings
- 6 Applications to residuated lattices
 - Conuclei
 - Cayley's and Holland's theorem
 - FEP

Idempotent semirings

Definition

A structure $\mathbf{R} = \langle R, +, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ is called a (unital) semiring if

- $\langle R, + \rangle$ is a commutative semigroup,
- $\langle R, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ is a monoid,
- $a(b + c) = ab + ac$ and $(b + c)a = ba + ca$.

Idempotent semirings

Definition

A structure $\mathbf{R} = \langle R, +, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ is called a **(unital) semiring** if

- $\langle R, + \rangle$ is a commutative semigroup,
- $\langle R, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ is a monoid,
- $a(b + c) = ab + ac$ and $(b + c)a = ba + ca$.

Definition

- $\mathbf{R}^{op} = \langle R, +, \odot, 1 \rangle$ denotes an **opposite semiring** where $x \odot y = y \cdot x$,

Idempotent semirings

Definition

A structure $\mathbf{R} = \langle R, +, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ is called a **(unital) semiring** if

- $\langle R, + \rangle$ is a commutative semigroup,
- $\langle R, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ is a monoid,
- $a(b + c) = ab + ac$ and $(b + c)a = ba + ca$.

Definition

- $\mathbf{R}^{op} = \langle R, +, \odot, 1 \rangle$ denotes an **opposite semiring** where $x \odot y = y \cdot x$,
- $\mathbf{R}^+ = \langle R, + \rangle$,

Idempotent semirings

Definition

A structure $\mathbf{R} = \langle R, +, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ is called a **(unital) semiring** if

- $\langle R, + \rangle$ is a commutative semigroup,
- $\langle R, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ is a monoid,
- $a(b + c) = ab + ac$ and $(b + c)a = ba + ca$.

Definition

- $\mathbf{R}^{op} = \langle R, +, \odot, 1 \rangle$ denotes an **opposite semiring** where $x \odot y = y \cdot x$,
- $\mathbf{R}^+ = \langle R, + \rangle$,
- \mathbf{R} is called **idempotent** if $a + a = a$. In that case \mathbf{R}^+ forms a **(join)-semilattice**.

Examples

Definition

Let \mathbf{P} be a poset. A map $f: P \rightarrow P$ is said to be **residuated** iff it has a (left) residual $f^\dagger: P \rightarrow P$, i.e.

$$f(x) \leq y \quad \text{iff} \quad x \leq f^\dagger(y).$$

$\text{Res}(\mathbf{P})$ denotes the set of all residuated maps on \mathbf{P} .

Examples

Definition

Let \mathbf{P} be a poset. A map $f: P \rightarrow P$ is said to be **residuated** iff it has a (left) residual $f^\dagger: P \rightarrow P$, i.e.

$$f(x) \leq y \quad \text{iff} \quad x \leq f^\dagger(y).$$

$\text{Res}(\mathbf{P})$ denotes the set of all residuated maps on \mathbf{P} .

Example

Let $\mathbf{L} = \langle L, \vee \rangle$ be a join-semilattice.

Examples

Definition

Let \mathbf{P} be a poset. A map $f: P \rightarrow P$ is said to be **residuated** iff it has a (left) residual $f^\dagger: P \rightarrow P$, i.e.

$$f(x) \leq y \quad \text{iff} \quad x \leq f^\dagger(y).$$

$\text{Res}(\mathbf{P})$ denotes the set of all residuated maps on \mathbf{P} .

Example

Let $\mathbf{L} = \langle L, \vee \rangle$ be a join-semilattice.

- $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{L}) = \langle \text{End}(\mathbf{L}), \vee, \circ, id \rangle$ is an idempotent semiring,

Examples

Definition

Let \mathbf{P} be a poset. A map $f: P \rightarrow P$ is said to be **residuated** iff it has a (left) residual $f^\dagger: P \rightarrow P$, i.e.

$$f(x) \leq y \quad \text{iff} \quad x \leq f^\dagger(y).$$

$\text{Res}(\mathbf{P})$ denotes the set of all residuated maps on \mathbf{P} .

Example

Let $\mathbf{L} = \langle L, \vee \rangle$ be a join-semilattice.

- $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{L}) = \langle \text{End}(\mathbf{L}), \vee, \circ, id \rangle$ is an idempotent semiring,
- $\text{Res}(\mathbf{L})$ forms a subsemiring $\mathbf{Res}(\mathbf{L})$ of $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{L})$ since residuated maps are closed under composition and pointwise join.

Relational endomorphisms

Let \mathbf{L} be a join-semilattice. Recall that ideals on \mathbf{L} forms an algebraic lattice $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{L}) = \langle \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{L}), \cap, \vee \rangle$. We identify binary relations on \mathbf{L} with functions from L to $\mathcal{P}(L)$.

Relational endomorphisms

Let \mathbf{L} be a join-semilattice. Recall that ideals on \mathbf{L} forms an algebraic lattice $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{L}) = \langle \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{L}), \cap, \vee \rangle$. We identify binary relations on \mathbf{L} with functions from L to $\mathcal{P}(L)$.

Definition

A binary relation $R \subseteq L \times L$ is called **compatible** if

- $R(x) \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{L})$,
- $R(x \vee y) = R(x) \vee R(y)$.

Relational endomorphisms

Let \mathbf{L} be a join-semilattice. Recall that ideals on \mathbf{L} forms an algebraic lattice $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{L}) = \langle \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{L}), \cap, \vee \rangle$. We identify binary relations on \mathbf{L} with functions from L to $\mathcal{P}(L)$.

Definition

A binary relation $R \subseteq L \times L$ is called **compatible** if

- $R(x) \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{L})$,
- $R(x \vee y) = R(x) \vee R(y)$.

Example

The set of all compatible relations on \mathbf{L} forms an idempotent semiring $\mathbf{REnd}(\mathbf{L}) = \langle \mathbf{REnd}(\mathbf{L}), \vee, \circ, Id \rangle$, where $Id(x) = \downarrow x$.

Relational endomorphisms

Let \mathbf{L} be a join-semilattice. Recall that ideals on \mathbf{L} forms an algebraic lattice $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{L}) = \langle \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{L}), \cap, \vee \rangle$. We identify binary relations on \mathbf{L} with functions from L to $\mathcal{P}(L)$.

Definition

A binary relation $R \subseteq L \times L$ is called **compatible** if

- $R(x) \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{L})$,
- $R(x \vee y) = R(x) \vee R(y)$.

Example

The set of all compatible relations on \mathbf{L} forms an idempotent semiring $\mathbf{REnd}(\mathbf{L}) = \langle \mathbf{REnd}(\mathbf{L}), \vee, \circ, Id \rangle$, where $Id(x) = \downarrow x$.

Lemma

$\mathbf{REnd}(\mathbf{L}) \cong \mathbf{Res}(\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{L}))$.

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Idempotent semirings
- 3 Idempotent semimodules**
- 4 Holland's theorem for idempotent semirings
- 5 FEP for integral idempotent semirings
- 6 Applications to residuated lattices
 - Conuclei
 - Cayley's and Holland's theorem
 - FEP

Idempotent semimodules

Definition

Let $\mathbf{R} = \langle R, +, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ be a semiring. A **left \mathbf{R} -semimodule** \mathbf{M} is a commutative semigroup $\langle M, + \rangle$ together with a map $\star: R \times M \rightarrow M$ such that:

- $r \star (m + n) = r \star m + r \star n,$
- $(r + s) \star m = r \star m + s \star m,$
- $r \star (s \star m) = (r \cdot s) \star m,$
- $1 \star m = m.$

Idempotent semimodules

Definition

Let $\mathbf{R} = \langle R, +, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ be a semiring. A **left \mathbf{R} -semimodule \mathbf{M}** is a commutative semigroup $\langle M, + \rangle$ together with a map $\star: R \times M \rightarrow M$ such that:

- $r \star (m + n) = r \star m + r \star n$,
- $(r + s) \star m = r \star m + s \star m$,
- $r \star (s \star m) = (r \cdot s) \star m$,
- $1 \star m = m$.

Definition

- $\mathbf{M}^+ = \langle M, + \rangle$ is the scalar-free reduct.

Idempotent semimodules

Definition

Let $\mathbf{R} = \langle R, +, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ be a semiring. A **left \mathbf{R} -semimodule \mathbf{M}** is a commutative semigroup $\langle M, + \rangle$ together with a map $\star: R \times M \rightarrow M$ such that:

- $r \star (m + n) = r \star m + r \star n$,
- $(r + s) \star m = r \star m + s \star m$,
- $r \star (s \star m) = (r \cdot s) \star m$,
- $1 \star m = m$.

Definition

- $\mathbf{M}^+ = \langle M, + \rangle$ is the scalar-free reduct.
- \mathbf{M} is called **idempotent** if $m + m = m$. In that case \mathbf{M}^+ forms a **(join)-semilattice**.

Idempotent semimodules

Definition

Let $\mathbf{R} = \langle R, +, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ be a semiring. A **left \mathbf{R} -semimodule** \mathbf{M} is a commutative semigroup $\langle M, + \rangle$ together with a map $\star: R \times M \rightarrow M$ such that:

- $r \star (m + n) = r \star m + r \star n$,
- $(r + s) \star m = r \star m + s \star m$,
- $r \star (s \star m) = (r \cdot s) \star m$,
- $1 \star m = m$.

Definition

- $\mathbf{M}^+ = \langle M, + \rangle$ is the scalar-free reduct.
- \mathbf{M} is called **idempotent** if $m + m = m$. In that case \mathbf{M}^+ forms a **(join)-semilattice**.
- A **right \mathbf{R} -semimodule** is defined analogously.

Examples

Example

Every semiring $\mathbf{R} = \langle R, +, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ can be turned into a left \mathbf{R} -semimodule $\langle R, + \rangle$ using its multiplication as the left action.

Examples

Example

Every semiring $\mathbf{R} = \langle R, +, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ can be turned into a left \mathbf{R} -semimodule $\langle R, + \rangle$ using its multiplication as the left action.

Example

Every commutative semigroup (**join-semilattice**) $\mathbf{L} = \langle L, + \rangle$ can be turned into an (**idempotent**) $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{L})$ -semimodule where the left action $\star: \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{L}) \times L \rightarrow L$ is defined by $f \star m = f(m)$.

Separation set

Let \mathbf{M} be a left \mathbf{R} -semimodule over a semiring \mathbf{R} .

Definition

A subset $E \subseteq M$ is called a **separating set** in \mathbf{M} if for all $r, s \in R$ we have the following implication:

$$r \neq s \implies (\exists e \in E)(r \star e \neq s \star e).$$

Separation set

Let \mathbf{M} be a left \mathbf{R} -semimodule over a semiring \mathbf{R} .

Definition

A subset $E \subseteq M$ is called a **separating set** in \mathbf{M} if for all $r, s \in R$ we have the following implication:

$$r \neq s \implies (\exists e \in E)(r \star e \neq s \star e).$$

Observations

Separation set

Let \mathbf{M} be a left \mathbf{R} -semimodule over a semiring \mathbf{R} .

Definition

A subset $E \subseteq M$ is called a **separating set** in \mathbf{M} if for all $r, s \in R$ we have the following implication:

$$r \neq s \implies (\exists e \in E)(r \star e \neq s \star e).$$

Observations

- The map $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{M}^+)$ sending $r \in R$ to $f_r(m) = r \star m$ is a semiring homomorphism.

Separation set

Let \mathbf{M} be a left \mathbf{R} -semimodule over a semiring \mathbf{R} .

Definition

A subset $E \subseteq M$ is called a **separating set** in \mathbf{M} if for all $r, s \in R$ we have the following implication:

$$r \neq s \implies (\exists e \in E)(r \star e \neq s \star e).$$

Observations

- The map $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{M}^+)$ sending $r \in R$ to $f_r(m) = r \star m$ is a semiring homomorphism.
- If \mathbf{M} has a separating set E , then ϕ is an **embedding**.

Separation set

Let \mathbf{M} be a left \mathbf{R} -semimodule over a semiring \mathbf{R} .

Definition

A subset $E \subseteq M$ is called a **separating set** in \mathbf{M} if for all $r, s \in R$ we have the following implication:

$$r \neq s \implies (\exists e \in E)(r \star e \neq s \star e).$$

Observations

- The map $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{End}(\mathbf{M}^+)$ sending $r \in R$ to $f_r(m) = r \star m$ is a semiring homomorphism.
- If \mathbf{M} has a separating set E , then ϕ is an **embedding**.
- The above holds also for a right \mathbf{R} -semimodule if we replace $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{M}^+)$ by $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{M}^+)^{op}$.

Cayley's theorem for idempotent semirings

Corollary

Every idempotent semiring \mathbf{R} embeds into $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{R}^+)$.

Cayley's theorem for idempotent semirings

Corollary

Every idempotent semiring \mathbf{R} embeds into $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{R}^+)$.

Proof.



Cayley's theorem for idempotent semirings

Corollary

Every idempotent semiring \mathbf{R} embeds into $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{R}^+)$.

Proof.

- Every idempotent semiring \mathbf{R} can be viewed as an idempotent \mathbf{R} -semimodule whose left action is just the multiplication in \mathbf{R} .



Cayley's theorem for idempotent semirings

Corollary

Every idempotent semiring \mathbf{R} embeds into $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{R}^+)$.

Proof.

- Every idempotent semiring \mathbf{R} can be viewed as an idempotent \mathbf{R} -semimodule whose left action is just the multiplication in \mathbf{R} .
- Moreover, $\{1\}$ is a separating set in the semimodule \mathbf{R} .



Residuated semimodules

Let \mathbf{R} be an idempotent semiring.

Definition

An \mathbf{R} -semimodule \mathbf{M} is **residuated** if \mathbf{M} is idempotent and there is a map $\backslash: R \times M \rightarrow M$ such that

$$r \star m \leq n \quad \text{iff} \quad m \leq r \backslash n.$$

Residuated semimodules

Let \mathbf{R} be an idempotent semiring.

Definition

An \mathbf{R} -semimodule \mathbf{M} is **residuated** if \mathbf{M} is idempotent and there is a map $\backslash: R \times M \rightarrow M$ such that

$$r \star m \leq n \quad \text{iff} \quad m \leq r \backslash n.$$

Then \backslash is a right action since $1 \backslash m = m$ and $s \backslash (r \backslash m) = rs \backslash m$.

Residuated semimodules

Let \mathbf{R} be an idempotent semiring.

Definition

An \mathbf{R} -semimodule \mathbf{M} is **residuated** if \mathbf{M} is idempotent and there is a map $\backslash : R \times M \rightarrow M$ such that

$$r \star m \leq n \quad \text{iff} \quad m \leq r \backslash n.$$

Then \backslash is a right action since $1 \backslash m = m$ and $s \backslash (r \backslash m) = rs \backslash m$.

Lemma

Let \mathbf{M} be a residuated \mathbf{R} -semimodule such that \mathbf{M}^+ forms a lattice. Then

- $r \backslash (m \wedge n) = r \backslash m \wedge r \backslash n,$
- $(r \vee s) \backslash m = r \backslash m \wedge s \backslash m.$

Residuated semimodules

Let \mathbf{R} be an idempotent semiring.

Definition

An \mathbf{R} -semimodule \mathbf{M} is **residuated** if \mathbf{M} is idempotent and there is a map $\backslash : R \times M \rightarrow M$ such that

$$r \star m \leq n \quad \text{iff} \quad m \leq r \backslash n.$$

Then \backslash is a right action since $1 \backslash m = m$ and $s \backslash (r \backslash m) = rs \backslash m$.

Lemma

Let \mathbf{M} be a residuated \mathbf{R} -semimodule such that \mathbf{M}^+ forms a lattice. Then

- $r \backslash (m \wedge n) = r \backslash m \wedge r \backslash n$,
- $(r \vee s) \backslash m = r \backslash m \wedge s \backslash m$.

Thus $(\mathbf{M}^+)^{\partial}$ together with \backslash forms a right idempotent \mathbf{R} -semimodule.

Relational Cayley's theorem

Theorem

Let \mathbf{R} be an id. semiring and \mathbf{M} a left id. \mathbf{R} -semimodule. Then

Relational Cayley's theorem

Theorem

Let \mathbf{R} be an id. semiring and \mathbf{M} a left id. \mathbf{R} -semimodule. Then

- 1 $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{M})$ is a *complete residuated* \mathbf{R} -semimodule whose left action is given by

$$r \star I = \{m \in M \mid (\exists n \in I)(m \leq r \star n)\}, \quad r \setminus J = \{m \in M \mid r \star m \in J\}.$$

Relational Cayley's theorem

Theorem

Let \mathbf{R} be an id. semiring and \mathbf{M} a left id. \mathbf{R} -semimodule. Then

- 1 $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{M})$ is a *complete residuated* \mathbf{R} -semimodule whose left action is given by

$$r \star I = \{m \in M \mid (\exists n \in I)(m \leq r \star n)\}, \quad r \setminus J = \{m \in M \mid r \star m \in J\}.$$

- 2 \mathbf{M} embeds into $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{M})$ as an \mathbf{R} -semimodule via the map $m \mapsto \downarrow m$.

Relational Cayley's theorem

Theorem

Let \mathbf{R} be an id. semiring and \mathbf{M} a left id. \mathbf{R} -semimodule. Then

- 1 $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{M})$ is a *complete residuated* \mathbf{R} -semimodule whose left action is given by

$$r \star I = \{m \in M \mid (\exists n \in I)(m \leq r \star n)\}, \quad r \setminus J = \{m \in M \mid r \star m \in J\}.$$

- 2 \mathbf{M} embeds into $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{M})$ as an \mathbf{R} -semimodule via the map $m \mapsto \downarrow m$.
- 3 If E is a separating set in \mathbf{M} then $\{\downarrow e \mid e \in E\}$ is a separating set in $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{M})$.

Relational Cayley's theorem

Theorem

Let \mathbf{R} be an id. semiring and \mathbf{M} a left id. \mathbf{R} -semimodule. Then

- 1 $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{M})$ is a *complete residuated* \mathbf{R} -semimodule whose left action is given by

$$r \star I = \{m \in M \mid (\exists n \in I)(m \leq r \star n)\}, \quad r \setminus J = \{m \in M \mid r \star m \in J\}.$$

- 2 \mathbf{M} embeds into $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{M})$ as an \mathbf{R} -semimodule via the map $m \mapsto \downarrow m$.
- 3 If E is a separating set in \mathbf{M} then $\{\downarrow e \mid e \in E\}$ is a separating set in $\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{M})$.

Theorem

Any idempotent semiring \mathbf{R} is embeddable into $\mathbf{R}\text{End}(\mathbf{R}^+)$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Res}(\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{R}^+))$.

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Idempotent semirings
- 3 Idempotent semimodules
- 4 Holland's theorem for idempotent semirings**
- 5 FEP for integral idempotent semirings
- 6 Applications to residuated lattices
 - Conuclei
 - Cayley's and Holland's theorem
 - FEP

Linear ideals

- Let \mathbf{M} be a left idempotent \mathbf{R} -semimodule over an idempotent semiring \mathbf{R} .

Linear ideals

- Let \mathbf{M} be a left idempotent \mathbf{R} -semimodule over an idempotent semiring \mathbf{R} .
- Then every ideal $I \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{M}^+)$ induces a congruence \sim_I on \mathbf{M} defined as follows:

$$m \sim_I m' \quad \text{iff} \quad (\forall r \in R)(r \star m \in I \Leftrightarrow r \star m' \in I).$$

Linear ideals

- Let \mathbf{M} be a left idempotent \mathbf{R} -semimodule over an idempotent semiring \mathbf{R} .
- Then every ideal $I \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{M}^+)$ induces a congruence \sim_I on \mathbf{M} defined as follows:

$$m \sim_I m' \quad \text{iff} \quad (\forall r \in R)(r \star m \in I \Leftrightarrow r \star m' \in I).$$

- An ideal $I \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{M}^+)$ is called **linear** if $r \star m \in I$ and $s \star n \in I$ implies $r \star n \in I$ or $s \star m \in I$.

Linear ideals

- Let \mathbf{M} be a left idempotent \mathbf{R} -semimodule over an idempotent semiring \mathbf{R} .
- Then every ideal $I \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{M}^+)$ induces a congruence \sim_I on \mathbf{M} defined as follows:

$$m \sim_I m' \quad \text{iff} \quad (\forall r \in R)(r \star m \in I \Leftrightarrow r \star m' \in I).$$

- An ideal $I \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{M}^+)$ is called **linear** if $r \star m \in I$ and $s \star n \in I$ implies $r \star n \in I$ or $s \star m \in I$.

Lemma

An ideal $I \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{M}^+)$ is linear iff \mathbf{M}/\sim_I is linearly ordered.

(EC) condition

- Consider the following quasi-identity in the language of semimodules:

$$u \leq h \vee c \star a \quad \& \quad u \leq h \vee d \star b \quad \implies \quad u \leq h \vee c \star b \vee d \star a. \quad (\text{EC})$$

(EC) condition

- Consider the following quasi-identity in the language of semimodules:

$$u \leq h \vee c \star a \quad \& \quad u \leq h \vee d \star b \quad \implies \quad u \leq h \vee c \star b \vee d \star a. \quad (\text{EC})$$

- The same quasi-identity can be considered also in the language of semirings:

$$u \leq h \vee ca \quad \& \quad u \leq h \vee db \quad \implies \quad u \leq h \vee cb \vee da. \quad (\text{EC})$$

(EC) condition

- Consider the following quasi-identity in the language of semimodules:

$$u \leq h \vee c \star a \quad \& \quad u \leq h \vee d \star b \quad \implies \quad u \leq h \vee c \star b \vee d \star a. \quad (\text{EC})$$

- The same quasi-identity can be considered also in the language of semirings:

$$u \leq h \vee ca \quad \& \quad u \leq h \vee db \quad \implies \quad u \leq h \vee cb \vee da. \quad (\text{EC})$$

- If an idempotent semiring \mathbf{R} satisfies (EC) as a semiring then it satisfies (EC) when viewed as an \mathbf{R} -semimodule and also vice versa.

Quasivariety generated by chains

Let \mathbf{R} be an idempotent semiring.

Lemma

- 1 *Every linearly ordered left idempotent \mathbf{R} -semimodule \mathbf{M} satisfies (EC).*
- 2 *Conversely, if \mathbf{M} satisfies (EC) then every ideal $I \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{M}^+)$ maximal with respect to not containing an element u is linear.*

Quasivariety generated by chains

Let \mathbf{R} be an idempotent semiring.

Lemma

- ① *Every linearly ordered left idempotent \mathbf{R} -semimodule \mathbf{M} satisfies (EC).*
- ② *Conversely, if \mathbf{M} satisfies (EC) then every ideal $I \in \mathcal{I}(\mathbf{M}^+)$ maximal with respect to not containing an element u is linear.*

Theorem

Let \mathbf{M} be a left idempotent \mathbf{R} -semimodule. T.F.A.E:

- ① \mathbf{M} satisfies (EC).
- ② \mathbf{M} is embeddable into $\prod_{i \in K} \mathbf{N}_i$ for some family $\{\mathbf{N}_i \mid i \in K\}$ of linearly ordered left idempotent \mathbf{R} -semimodules.

Ordinal sum of \mathbf{R} -semimodules

Let \mathbf{R} be an idempotent semiring.

Definition

Ordinal sum of \mathbf{R} -semimodules

Let \mathbf{R} be an idempotent semiring.

Definition

- Let $\langle K, \leq \rangle$ be a linearly ordered set and

Ordinal sum of \mathbf{R} -semimodules

Let \mathbf{R} be an idempotent semiring.

Definition

- Let $\langle K, \leq \rangle$ be a linearly ordered set and
- $\{\mathbf{M}_i \mid i \in K\}$ a family of left idempotent \mathbf{R} -semimodules whose left actions are denoted \star_i .

Ordinal sum of \mathbf{R} -semimodules

Let \mathbf{R} be an idempotent semiring.

Definition

- Let $\langle K, \leq \rangle$ be a linearly ordered set and
- $\{\mathbf{M}_i \mid i \in K\}$ a family of left idempotent \mathbf{R} -semimodules whose left actions are denoted \star_i .
- Then the **ordinal sum** $\bigoplus_{i \in K} \mathbf{M}_i$ is a left idempotent \mathbf{R} -semimodule, whose underlying join-semilattice is the ordinal sum of $\{\mathbf{M}_i^+ \mid i \in K\}$ and its left action is given by

$$r \star m = r \star_i m \quad \text{if } m \in M_i.$$

Holland's theorem for idempotent semirings

Let \mathbf{R} be an idempotent semiring.

Theorem

Let \mathbf{M} be a left idempotent \mathbf{R} -semimodule satisfying (EC). Then

- There is a linearly ordered left id. \mathbf{R} -semimodule \mathbf{N} which is an ordinal sum of its s.i. factors.

Holland's theorem for idempotent semirings

Let \mathbf{R} be an idempotent semiring.

Theorem

Let \mathbf{M} be a left idempotent \mathbf{R} -semimodule satisfying (EC). Then

- There is a linearly ordered left id. \mathbf{R} -semimodule \mathbf{N} which is an ordinal sum of its s.i. factors.
- If \mathbf{M} has a one-element separating set $\{e\}$ then \mathbf{N} has a separating set E which is dually well ordered.

Holland's theorem for idempotent semirings

Let \mathbf{R} be an idempotent semiring.

Theorem

Let \mathbf{M} be a left idempotent \mathbf{R} -semimodule satisfying (EC). Then

- There is a linearly ordered left id. \mathbf{R} -semimodule \mathbf{N} which is an ordinal sum of its s.i. factors.
- If \mathbf{M} has a one-element separating set $\{e\}$ then \mathbf{N} has a separating set E which is dually well ordered.

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- 1 \mathbf{R} satisfies (EC).
- 2 \mathbf{R} is embeddable into $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{C})$ for some chain \mathbf{C} .
- 3 \mathbf{R} is embeddable into $\mathbf{REnd}(\mathbf{C}) \cong \mathbf{Res}(\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{C}))$ for some chain \mathbf{C} .

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Idempotent semirings
- 3 Idempotent semimodules
- 4 Holland's theorem for idempotent semirings
- 5 FEP for integral idempotent semirings**
- 6 Applications to residuated lattices
 - Conuclei
 - Cayley's and Holland's theorem
 - FEP

Finite embeddability property

- Recall that a class K of algebras in the same language has the **FEP** if every finite partial subalgebra is embeddable into a finite member of K .

Finite embeddability property

- Recall that a class K of algebras in the same language has the **FEP** if every finite partial subalgebra is embeddable into a finite member of K .
- An idempotent semiring \mathbf{R} is said to be **integral** if 1 is a top element with respect to the join-semilattice order on \mathbf{R} .

Finite embeddability property

- Recall that a class K of algebras in the same language has the **FEP** if every finite partial subalgebra is embeddable into a finite member of K .
- An idempotent semiring \mathbf{R} is said to be **integral** if 1 is a top element with respect to the join-semilattice order on \mathbf{R} .
- We denote the variety of all integral idempotent semirings by **ISR** and **Q** its sub-quasivariety axiomatized by (EC).

Theorem

Let K be a subvariety of ISR and $\mathbf{R} \in K$ generated by a finite set C . Then there is a finite $\mathbf{S} \in K$ and a surjective homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ such that $\phi(r) \leq \phi(c)$ implies $r \leq c$ for all $r \in R$ and $c \in C$. In addition, if $\mathbf{R} \in K \cap Q$ then $\mathbf{S} \in K \cap Q$ as well.

Theorem

Let K be a subvariety of ISR and $\mathbf{R} \in K$ generated by a finite set C . Then there is a finite $\mathbf{S} \in K$ and a surjective homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ such that $\phi(r) \leq \phi(c)$ implies $r \leq c$ for all $r \in R$ and $c \in C$. In addition, if $\mathbf{R} \in K \cap Q$ then $\mathbf{S} \in K \cap Q$ as well.

Sketch of the proof for $\mathbf{R} \in K \cap Q$

Theorem

Let K be a subvariety of ISR and $\mathbf{R} \in K$ generated by a finite set C . Then there is a finite $\mathbf{S} \in K$ and a surjective homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ such that $\phi(r) \leq \phi(c)$ implies $r \leq c$ for all $r \in R$ and $c \in C$. In addition, if $\mathbf{R} \in K \cap Q$ then $\mathbf{S} \in K \cap Q$ as well.

Sketch of the proof for $\mathbf{R} \in K \cap Q$

- There is a linearly ordered left id. \mathbf{R} -semimodule \mathbf{M} with a d.w.o. separating set E .

Theorem

Let K be a subvariety of ISR and $\mathbf{R} \in K$ generated by a finite set C . Then there is a finite $\mathbf{S} \in K$ and a surjective homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ such that $\phi(r) \leq \phi(c)$ implies $r \leq c$ for all $r \in R$ and $c \in C$. In addition, if $\mathbf{R} \in K \cap Q$ then $\mathbf{S} \in K \cap Q$ as well.

Sketch of the proof for $\mathbf{R} \in K \cap Q$

- There is a linearly ordered left id. \mathbf{R} -semimodule \mathbf{M} with a d.w.o. separating set E .
- Take a \mathbf{R} -subsemimodule \mathbf{N} of \mathbf{M} generated by E , i.e., $N = R \star E$.

Theorem

Let K be a subvariety of ISR and $\mathbf{R} \in K$ generated by a finite set C . Then there is a finite $\mathbf{S} \in K$ and a surjective homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ such that $\phi(r) \leq \phi(c)$ implies $r \leq c$ for all $r \in R$ and $c \in C$. In addition, if $\mathbf{R} \in K \cap Q$ then $\mathbf{S} \in K \cap Q$ as well.

Sketch of the proof for $\mathbf{R} \in K \cap Q$

- There is a linearly ordered left id. \mathbf{R} -semimodule \mathbf{M} with a d.w.o. separating set E .
- Take a \mathbf{R} -subsemimodule \mathbf{N} of \mathbf{M} generated by E , i.e., $N = R \star E$.
- Then \mathbf{N} has is residuated and has ACC (**Higman's lemma**).

Theorem

Let K be a subvariety of ISR and $\mathbf{R} \in K$ generated by a finite set C . Then there is a finite $\mathbf{S} \in K$ and a surjective homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ such that $\phi(r) \leq \phi(c)$ implies $r \leq c$ for all $r \in R$ and $c \in C$. In addition, if $\mathbf{R} \in K \cap Q$ then $\mathbf{S} \in K \cap Q$ as well.

Sketch of the proof for $\mathbf{R} \in K \cap Q$

- There is a linearly ordered left id. \mathbf{R} -semimodule \mathbf{M} with a d.w.o. separating set E .
- Take a \mathbf{R} -subsemimodule \mathbf{N} of \mathbf{M} generated by E , i.e., $N = R \star E$.
- Then \mathbf{N} has is residuated and has ACC (**Higman's lemma**).
- The dual \mathbf{N}^∂ is a **right** idempotent \mathbf{R} -semimodule having DCC.

Theorem

Let K be a subvariety of ISR and $\mathbf{R} \in K$ generated by a finite set C . Then there is a finite $\mathbf{S} \in K$ and a surjective homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ such that $\phi(r) \leq \phi(c)$ implies $r \leq c$ for all $r \in R$ and $c \in C$. In addition, if $\mathbf{R} \in K \cap Q$ then $\mathbf{S} \in K \cap Q$ as well.

Sketch of the proof for $\mathbf{R} \in K \cap Q$

- There is a linearly ordered left id. \mathbf{R} -semimodule \mathbf{M} with a d.w.o. separating set E .
- Take a \mathbf{R} -subsemimodule \mathbf{N} of \mathbf{M} generated by E , i.e., $N = R \star E$.
- Then \mathbf{N} has is residuated and has ACC (**Higman's lemma**).
- The dual \mathbf{N}^∂ is a **right** idempotent \mathbf{R} -semimodule having DCC.
- Take an \mathbf{R} -subsemimodule \mathbf{K} of \mathbf{N}^∂ generated by $C \star E$.

Theorem

Let K be a subvariety of ISR and $\mathbf{R} \in K$ generated by a finite set C . Then there is a finite $\mathbf{S} \in K$ and a surjective homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ such that $\phi(r) \leq \phi(c)$ implies $r \leq c$ for all $r \in R$ and $c \in C$. In addition, if $\mathbf{R} \in K \cap \mathbf{Q}$ then $\mathbf{S} \in K \cap \mathbf{Q}$ as well.

Sketch of the proof for $\mathbf{R} \in K \cap \mathbf{Q}$

- There is a linearly ordered left id. \mathbf{R} -semimodule \mathbf{M} with a d.w.o. separating set E .
- Take a \mathbf{R} -subsemimodule \mathbf{N} of \mathbf{M} generated by E , i.e., $N = R \star E$.
- Then \mathbf{N} has is residuated and has ACC (**Higman's lemma**).
- The dual \mathbf{N}^∂ is a **right** idempotent \mathbf{R} -semimodule having DCC.
- Take an \mathbf{R} -subsemimodule \mathbf{K} of \mathbf{N}^∂ generated by $C \star E$.
- Then \mathbf{K} is finite residuated right \mathbf{R} -semimodule.

FEP for integral idempotent semirings

Sketch of the proof (cont.)

FEP for integral idempotent semirings

Sketch of the proof (cont.)

- Hence there is a semiring homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{Res}(\mathbf{K}^+)^{op}$.

FEP for integral idempotent semirings

Sketch of the proof (cont.)

- Hence there is a semiring homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{Res}(\mathbf{K}^+)^{op}$.
- Let $\mathbf{S} = \phi[\mathbf{R}]$.

FEP for integral idempotent semirings

Sketch of the proof (cont.)

- Hence there is a semiring homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{Res}(\mathbf{K}^+)^{op}$.
- Let $\mathbf{S} = \phi[\mathbf{R}]$.
- Then $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbf{K}$ because varieties are closed under homomorphic images.

FEP for integral idempotent semirings

Sketch of the proof (cont.)

- Hence there is a semiring homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{Res}(\mathbf{K}^+)^{op}$.
- Let $\mathbf{S} = \phi[\mathbf{R}]$.
- Then $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbf{K}$ because varieties are closed under homomorphic images.
- Further $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbf{Q}$ because \mathbf{K}^+ is linearly ordered.

FEP for integral idempotent semirings

Sketch of the proof (cont.)

- Hence there is a semiring homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{Res}(\mathbf{K}^+)^{op}$.
- Let $\mathbf{S} = \phi[\mathbf{R}]$.
- Then $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbf{K}$ because varieties are closed under homomorphic images.
- Further $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbf{Q}$ because \mathbf{K}^+ is linearly ordered.
- Moreover \mathbf{S} is finite because K is finite.

FEP for integral idempotent semirings

Sketch of the proof (cont.)

- Hence there is a semiring homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{Res}(\mathbf{K}^+)^{op}$.
- Let $\mathbf{S} = \phi[\mathbf{R}]$.
- Then $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbf{K}$ because varieties are closed under homomorphic images.
- Further $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbf{Q}$ because \mathbf{K}^+ is linearly ordered.
- Moreover \mathbf{S} is finite because K is finite.
- Finally, if $\phi(r) \leq^{\partial} \phi(c)$ then $r \leq c$.

FEP for integral idempotent semirings

Sketch of the proof (cont.)

- Hence there is a semiring homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{Res}(\mathbf{K}^+)^{op}$.
- Let $\mathbf{S} = \phi[\mathbf{R}]$.
- Then $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbf{K}$ because varieties are closed under homomorphic images.
- Further $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbf{Q}$ because \mathbf{K}^+ is linearly ordered.
- Moreover \mathbf{S} is finite because K is finite.
- Finally, if $\phi(r) \leq^{\partial} \phi(c)$ then $r \leq c$.

Corollary

Let \mathbf{K} be a subvariety of ISR and \mathbf{Q} the quasivariety of idempotent semirings axiomatized by (EC). Then \mathbf{K} and $\mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{Q}$ have the finite embeddability property.

Outline

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Idempotent semirings
- 3 Idempotent semimodules
- 4 Holland's theorem for idempotent semirings
- 5 FEP for integral idempotent semirings
- 6 Applications to residuated lattices**
 - Conuclei
 - Cayley's and Holland's theorem
 - FEP

Residuated lattices

Definition

A **residuated lattice** is an algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \wedge, \vee, \cdot, /, \backslash, 1 \rangle$, where

- $\langle A, \wedge, \vee \rangle$ is a lattice,
- $\langle A, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ is a monoid and
- $x \cdot y \leq z$ iff $x \leq z/y$ iff $y \leq x \backslash z$.

Residuated lattices

Definition

A **residuated lattice** is an algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \wedge, \vee, \cdot, /, \backslash, 1 \rangle$, where

- $\langle A, \wedge, \vee \rangle$ is a lattice,
- $\langle A, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ is a monoid and
- $x \cdot y \leq z$ iff $x \leq z/y$ iff $y \leq x \backslash z$.

Every residuated lattice forms an idempotent semiring.

Residuated lattices

Definition

A **residuated lattice** is an algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \wedge, \vee, \cdot, /, \backslash, 1 \rangle$, where

- $\langle A, \wedge, \vee \rangle$ is a lattice,
- $\langle A, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ is a monoid and
- $x \cdot y \leq z$ iff $x \leq z/y$ iff $y \leq x \backslash z$.

Every residuated lattice forms an idempotent semiring.

Example

- If \mathbf{L} is a **complete** lattice then $\mathbf{Res}(\mathbf{L})$ is a complete residuated lattice.

Residuated lattices

Definition

A **residuated lattice** is an algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \wedge, \vee, \cdot, /, \backslash, 1 \rangle$, where

- $\langle A, \wedge, \vee \rangle$ is a lattice,
- $\langle A, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ is a monoid and
- $x \cdot y \leq z$ iff $x \leq z/y$ iff $y \leq x \backslash z$.

Every residuated lattice forms an idempotent semiring.

Example

- If \mathbf{L} is a **complete** lattice then $\mathbf{Res}(\mathbf{L})$ is a complete residuated lattice.
- If \mathbf{L} is a lattice then $\mathbf{REnd}(\mathbf{L}) \cong \mathbf{Res}(\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{L}))$ is a complete residuated lattice.

Interior operators

Definition

Let \mathbf{P} be a poset. A map $\sigma: P \rightarrow P$ is called an **interior operator** if

- $\sigma(x) \leq x$,
- $x \leq y$ implies $\sigma(x) \leq \sigma(y)$,
- $\sigma(\sigma(x)) = \sigma(x)$.

Interior operators

Definition

Let \mathbf{P} be a poset. A map $\sigma: P \rightarrow P$ is called an **interior operator** if

- $\sigma(x) \leq x$,
- $x \leq y$ implies $\sigma(x) \leq \sigma(y)$,
- $\sigma(\sigma(x)) = \sigma(x)$.

Observation

Let \mathbf{L} be a complete lattice and $S \subseteq L$. Then S induces an interior operator on \mathbf{L} :

$$\sigma_S(x) = \bigvee \{s \in S \mid s \leq x\}.$$

Conuclei

Definition

A conucleus σ on a residuated lattice \mathbf{L} is an interior operator such that $\sigma(x)\sigma(y) \leq \sigma(xy)$ and $\sigma(1) = 1$.

Conuclei

Definition

A conucleus σ on a residuated lattice \mathbf{L} is an interior operator such that $\sigma(x)\sigma(y) \leq \sigma(xy)$ and $\sigma(1) = 1$.

Theorem

Let σ be a conucleus on a residuated lattice $\mathbf{L} = \langle L, \wedge, \vee, \cdot, \backslash, /, 1 \rangle$. Then $\mathbf{L}_\sigma = \langle \sigma[L], \wedge_\sigma, \vee, \cdot, \backslash_\sigma, /_\sigma, 1 \rangle$ is a residuated lattice called **conuclear contraction**, where $x \wedge_\sigma y = \sigma(x \wedge y)$, $x \backslash_\sigma y = \sigma(x \backslash y)$ and $x / y = \sigma(x / y)$.

Conuclei

Definition

A conucleus σ on a residuated lattice \mathbf{L} is an interior operator such that $\sigma(x)\sigma(y) \leq \sigma(xy)$ and $\sigma(1) = 1$.

Theorem

Let σ be a conucleus on a residuated lattice $\mathbf{L} = \langle L, \wedge, \vee, \cdot, \backslash, /, 1 \rangle$. Then $\mathbf{L}_\sigma = \langle \sigma[L], \wedge_\sigma, \vee, \cdot, \backslash_\sigma, /_\sigma, 1 \rangle$ is a residuated lattice called *conuclear contraction*, where $x \wedge_\sigma y = \sigma(x \wedge y)$, $x \backslash_\sigma y = \sigma(x \backslash y)$ and $x / y = \sigma(x / y)$.

Lemma

Let \mathbf{A} be a complete residuated lattice and S a submonoid of \mathbf{A} . Then the interior operator σ_S on \mathbf{A} is a conucleus.

Key lemma

Lemma

Assumptions:

- *Let \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{B} be residuated lattices such that \mathbf{B} is complete and \mathbf{C} a partial subalgebra of \mathbf{A} .*

Key lemma

Lemma

Assumptions:

- *Let \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{B} be residuated lattices such that \mathbf{B} is complete and \mathbf{C} a partial subalgebra of \mathbf{A} .*
- *Further, let \mathbf{D} be the idempotent subsemiring of \mathbf{A} generated by C .*

Key lemma

Lemma

Assumptions:

- *Let \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} be residuated lattices such that \mathbf{B} is complete and \mathbf{C} a partial subalgebra of \mathbf{A} .*
- *Further, let \mathbf{D} be the idempotent subsemiring of \mathbf{A} generated by C .*
- *Suppose that there is a semiring homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ such that for all $d \in D$ and $c \in C$*

$$\phi(d) \leq \phi(c) \implies d \leq c.$$

Key lemma

Lemma

Assumptions:

- Let \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{B} be residuated lattices such that \mathbf{B} is complete and \mathbf{C} a partial subalgebra of \mathbf{A} .
- Further, let \mathbf{D} be the idempotent subsemiring of \mathbf{A} generated by C .
- Suppose that there is a semiring homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ such that for all $d \in D$ and $c \in C$

$$\phi(d) \leq \phi(c) \implies d \leq c.$$

Conclusions:

- Then $\sigma_{\phi[D]}$ is a conucleus and
- $\phi: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\sigma_{\phi[D]}}$ is an embedding of residuated lattices.

Cayley's theorem for residuated lattices

Theorem

Let \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} be residuated lattices such that \mathbf{B} is complete. If \mathbf{A} embeds into \mathbf{B} via ϕ as an idempotent semiring, then \mathbf{A} embeds into $\mathbf{B}_{\sigma_{\phi[A]}}$ as a residuated lattice.

Cayley's theorem for residuated lattices

Theorem

Let \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} be residuated lattices such that \mathbf{B} is complete. If \mathbf{A} embeds into \mathbf{B} via ϕ as an idempotent semiring, then \mathbf{A} embeds into $\mathbf{B}_{\sigma_{\phi[A]}}$ as a residuated lattice.

Corollary (Cayley's theorem for residuated lattices)

Let \mathbf{A} be a residuated lattice and \mathbf{A}^+ its join-semilattice reduct. Then \mathbf{A} embeds into a conuclear contraction of $\mathbf{REnd}(\mathbf{A}^+) \cong \mathbf{Res}(\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{A}^+))$. In addition, if \mathbf{A} is complete then \mathbf{A} embeds into a conuclear contraction of $\mathbf{Res}(\mathbf{A}^+)$.

Cayley's theorem for residuated lattices

Theorem

Let \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{B} be residuated lattices such that \mathbf{B} is complete. If \mathbf{A} embeds into \mathbf{B} via ϕ as an idempotent semiring, then \mathbf{A} embeds into $\mathbf{B}_{\sigma_{\phi[\mathbf{A}]}}$ as a residuated lattice.

Corollary (Cayley's theorem for residuated lattices)

Let \mathbf{A} be a residuated lattice and \mathbf{A}^+ its join-semilattice reduct. Then \mathbf{A} embeds into a conuclear contraction of $\mathbf{REnd}(\mathbf{A}^+) \cong \mathbf{Res}(\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{A}^+))$. In addition, if \mathbf{A} is complete then \mathbf{A} embeds into a conuclear contraction of $\mathbf{Res}(\mathbf{A}^+)$.

Theorem (Blount-Tsinakis)

Every residuated lattice embeds into a nuclear retraction of a powerset monoid.

Holland's theorem for residuated lattices

Theorem (Holland's theorem for residuated lattices)

Let \mathbf{A} be a residuated lattice. The following are equivalent:

- ① \mathbf{A} satisfies (EC) (equivalently, $(h \vee ca) \wedge (h \vee db) \leq h \vee cb \vee da$).
- ② \mathbf{A} embeds into a conuclear contraction of $\mathbf{REnd}(\mathbf{C})$ for a chain \mathbf{C} .
- ③ \mathbf{A} embeds into a conuclear contraction of $\mathbf{Res}(\mathbf{C}')$ for a complete chain \mathbf{C}' .

Classes where Holland's theorem (does not) applies

- **Prelinear** residuated lattices, i.e., those where $1 = (x \setminus y \wedge 1) \vee (y \setminus x \wedge 1)$ holds. This class includes all semilinear varieties and ℓ -groups.

Classes where Holland's theorem (does not) applies

- **Prelinear** residuated lattices, i.e., those where $1 = (x \setminus y \wedge 1) \vee (y \setminus x \wedge 1)$ holds. This class includes all semilinear varieties and ℓ -groups.
- **Commutative cancellative** residuated lattices.

Classes where Holland's theorem (does not) applies

- **Prelinear** residuated lattices, i.e., those where $1 = (x \setminus y \wedge 1) \vee (y \setminus x \wedge 1)$ holds. This class includes all semilinear varieties and ℓ -groups.
- **Commutative cancellative** residuated lattices.
- **Distributive** residuated lattices satisfying $x(y \wedge z) = xy \wedge xz$ and $(y \wedge z)x = yx \wedge zx$.

Classes where Holland's theorem (does not) applies

- **Prelinear** residuated lattices, i.e., those where $1 = (x \setminus y \wedge 1) \vee (y \setminus x \wedge 1)$ holds. This class includes all semilinear varieties and ℓ -groups.
- **Commutative cancellative** residuated lattices.
- **Distributive** residuated lattices satisfying $x(y \wedge z) = xy \wedge xz$ and $(y \wedge z)x = yx \wedge zx$.
- There are also **non-distributive integral** residuated lattices satisfying (EC).

Classes where Holland's theorem (does not) applies

- **Prelinear** residuated lattices, i.e., those where $1 = (x \setminus y \wedge 1) \vee (y \setminus x \wedge 1)$ holds. This class includes all semilinear varieties and ℓ -groups.
- **Commutative cancellative** residuated lattices.
- **Distributive** residuated lattices satisfying $x(y \wedge z) = xy \wedge xz$ and $(y \wedge z)x = yx \wedge zx$.
- There are also **non-distributive integral** residuated lattices satisfying (EC).
- Let $\mathbf{Z}_2 = \langle \{0, 1\}, +, 0 \rangle$ be the two-element group (ordered discretely). Consider its extension by a top and bottom element \top, \perp . Then its lattice reduct is distributive and (EC) does not hold in this extension.

FEP for integral residuated lattices

Let IRL be the variety of integral residuated lattices (i.e., $x \leq 1$).

Theorem

FEP for integral residuated lattices

Let IRL be the variety of integral residuated lattices (i.e., $x \leq 1$).

Theorem

- *Let V_1 be a subvariety of IRL axiomatized by the set \mathcal{E} of identities using only $\vee, \cdot, 1$.*

FEP for integral residuated lattices

Let IRL be the variety of integral residuated lattices (i.e., $x \leq 1$).

Theorem

- *Let V_1 be a subvariety of IRL axiomatized by the set \mathcal{E} of identities using only $\vee, \cdot, 1$.*
- *Further, let V_2 be the subvariety of V_1 relatively axiomatized by $(h \vee ca) \wedge (h \vee db) \leq h \vee cb \vee da$ (i.e., by (EC)).*

FEP for integral residuated lattices

Let IRL be the variety of integral residuated lattices (i.e., $x \leq 1$).

Theorem

- *Let V_1 be a subvariety of IRL axiomatized by the set \mathcal{E} of identities using only $\vee, \cdot, 1$.*
- *Further, let V_2 be the subvariety of V_1 relatively axiomatized by $(h \vee ca) \wedge (h \vee db) \leq h \vee cb \vee da$ (i.e., by (EC)).*
- *Then V_1 and V_2 have the finite embeddability property.*

FEP for integral residuated lattices

Let IRL be the variety of integral residuated lattices (i.e., $x \leq 1$).

Theorem

- *Let V_1 be a subvariety of IRL axiomatized by the set \mathcal{E} of identities using only $\vee, \cdot, 1$.*
- *Further, let V_2 be the subvariety of V_1 relatively axiomatized by $(h \vee ca) \wedge (h \vee db) \leq h \vee cb \vee da$ (i.e., by (EC)).*
- *Then V_1 and V_2 have the finite embeddability property.*

Sketch of the proof for V_2

FEP for integral residuated lattices

Let IRL be the variety of integral residuated lattices (i.e., $x \leq 1$).

Theorem

- Let V_1 be a subvariety of IRL axiomatized by the set \mathcal{E} of identities using only $\vee, \cdot, 1$.
- Further, let V_2 be the subvariety of V_1 relatively axiomatized by $(h \vee ca) \wedge (h \vee db) \leq h \vee cb \vee da$ (i.e., by (EC)).
- Then V_1 and V_2 have the finite embeddability property.

Sketch of the proof for V_2

- Let K be a subvariety of ISR axiomatized by \mathcal{E} and

FEP for integral residuated lattices

Let IRL be the variety of integral residuated lattices (i.e., $x \leq 1$).

Theorem

- Let V_1 be a subvariety of IRL axiomatized by the set \mathcal{E} of identities using only $\vee, \cdot, 1$.
- Further, let V_2 be the subvariety of V_1 relatively axiomatized by $(h \vee ca) \wedge (h \vee db) \leq h \vee cb \vee da$ (i.e., by (EC)).
- Then V_1 and V_2 have the finite embeddability property.

Sketch of the proof for V_2

- Let K be a subvariety of ISR axiomatized by \mathcal{E} and
- Q the quasivariety of idempotent semirings axiomatized by (EC).

FEP for integral residuated lattices

Let IRL be the variety of integral residuated lattices (i.e., $x \leq 1$).

Theorem

- Let V_1 be a subvariety of IRL axiomatized by the set \mathcal{E} of identities using only $\vee, \cdot, 1$.
- Further, let V_2 be the subvariety of V_1 relatively axiomatized by $(h \vee ca) \wedge (h \vee db) \leq h \vee cb \vee da$ (i.e., by (EC)).
- Then V_1 and V_2 have the finite embeddability property.

Sketch of the proof for V_2

- Let K be a subvariety of ISR axiomatized by \mathcal{E} and
- Q the quasivariety of idempotent semirings axiomatized by (EC).
- Suppose $\mathbf{A} \in V_2$. Then its semiring reduct belongs to $K \cap Q$.

Sketch of the proof (cont.)

- Let \mathbf{C} be a finite partial subalgebra of \mathbf{A} .

Sketch of the proof (cont.)

- Let \mathbf{C} be a finite partial subalgebra of \mathbf{A} .
- Consider the subsemiring \mathbf{R} of \mathbf{A} generated by C .

Sketch of the proof (cont.)

- Let \mathbf{C} be a finite partial subalgebra of \mathbf{A} .
- Consider the subsemiring \mathbf{R} of \mathbf{A} generated by C .
- Then $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{Q}$.

Sketch of the proof (cont.)

- Let \mathbf{C} be a finite partial subalgebra of \mathbf{A} .
- Consider the subsemiring \mathbf{R} of \mathbf{A} generated by C .
- Then $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{Q}$.
- By FEP for $\mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{Q}$ there is a finite semiring $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{Q}$ and

Sketch of the proof (cont.)

- Let \mathbf{C} be a finite partial subalgebra of \mathbf{A} .
- Consider the subsemiring \mathbf{R} of \mathbf{A} generated by \mathbf{C} .
- Then $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{Q}$.
- By FEP for $\mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{Q}$ there is a finite semiring $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{Q}$ and
- there is a surjective semiring homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ such that $\phi(r) \leq \phi(c)$ implies $r \leq c$ for all $r \in R$ and $c \in C$.

Sketch of the proof (cont.)

- Let \mathbf{C} be a finite partial subalgebra of \mathbf{A} .
- Consider the subsemiring \mathbf{R} of \mathbf{A} generated by \mathbf{C} .
- Then $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{Q}$.
- By FEP for $\mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{Q}$ there is a finite semiring $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{Q}$ and
- there is a surjective semiring homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ such that $\phi(r) \leq \phi(c)$ implies $r \leq c$ for all $r \in \mathbf{R}$ and $c \in \mathbf{C}$.
- Since \mathbf{A} is integral, it is possible to show that \mathbf{S} is in fact a finite residuated lattice.

Sketch of the proof (cont.)

- Let \mathbf{C} be a finite partial subalgebra of \mathbf{A} .
- Consider the subsemiring \mathbf{R} of \mathbf{A} generated by \mathbf{C} .
- Then $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{Q}$.
- By FEP for $\mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{Q}$ there is a finite semiring $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{Q}$ and
- there is a surjective semiring homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ such that $\phi(r) \leq \phi(c)$ implies $r \leq c$ for all $r \in R$ and $c \in C$.
- Since \mathbf{A} is integral, it is possible to show that \mathbf{S} is in fact a finite residuated lattice.
- Thus \mathbf{C} embeds as a residuated lattice into \mathbf{S}_σ for some conucleus σ

Sketch of the proof (cont.)

- Let \mathbf{C} be a finite partial subalgebra of \mathbf{A} .
- Consider the subsemiring \mathbf{R} of \mathbf{A} generated by C .
- Then $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{Q}$.
- By FEP for $\mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{Q}$ there is a finite semiring $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{Q}$ and
- there is a surjective semiring homomorphism $\phi: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ such that $\phi(r) \leq \phi(c)$ implies $r \leq c$ for all $r \in R$ and $c \in C$.
- Since \mathbf{A} is integral, it is possible to show that \mathbf{S} is in fact a finite residuated lattice.
- Thus \mathbf{C} embeds as a residuated lattice into \mathbf{S}_σ for some conucleus σ
- Since \mathbf{S}_σ is a subsemiring of \mathbf{S} , \mathbf{S}_σ is finite and belongs to $\mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{Q}$.

Thank you!