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## 1 Introduction

An important ingredient in development of effective methods for the solution of very large problems is identification of the algorithms that can solve some special cases with the optimal (i.e. asymptotically linear) complexity. For example, the interior point methods were applied successfully to the solution of very large problems of nonlinear optimization with many constraints and tens of thousands decision variables observing that the Hessian matrix with a special pattern of the distribution of the nonzero elements may be decomposed with nearly linear complexity [7].

In this paper, we review our recent algorithm [2] for the solution of the convex equality constrained quadratic programming problems. This algorithm can be implemented in such a way that, for the class of problems with the spectrum of the Hessian matrix in a given positive interval, it can find approximate solutions at the cost proportional to that of the matrix-vector multiplication. If applied to the class of problems with the sufficiently sparse Hessian and the constraint matrix, then the algorithm is optimal.

We have tested our algorithms by solving the class of well conditioned problems of varying dimensions with the quadratic form $q_{t}$ defined for $t \in\{2,3, \ldots\}$ by the symmetric Toeplitz matrix $A=A_{t}$ of the order $2 * t^{2}$ determined by the nonzero entries $a_{11}=12, a_{12}=a_{1, t}=-1$ and by the vectors $b=b_{t}$ defined by the entries $b_{i}=-1, i=1, \ldots, 2 * t$. Using the Gershgorin theorem, it is easy to see that the eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ of any $A_{t}$ satisfy $8 \leq \lambda_{i} \leq 16$. The equality constraints were defined by the matrix $C=C_{t}$ with $t$ rows comprising $2 * t^{2}$ entries which are zeros except $c_{i, t^{2}-i+1}=1$ and $c_{i, t^{2}+i}=-1, i=1, \ldots, t$.

In the whole paper, $q(x)=\frac{1}{2} x^{T} A x-b^{T} x$ will always denote a strictly convex quadratic function defined on $R^{n}$, with the Hessian matrix $\nabla^{2} q=A \in R^{n \times n}$ symmetric positive definite and $x, b \in R^{n}$. The eigenvalues of $A$ will be denoted $\lambda_{i}(A)$,

$$
\lambda_{\min }(A)=\lambda_{1}(A) \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{n}(A)=\lambda_{\max }(A)=\|A\| .
$$

The Euclidean norm and the $A$-energy norm of $x$ will be denoted by $\|x\|$ and $\|x\|_{A}$, respectively. Thus $\|x\|^{2}=x^{\top} x$ and $\|x\|_{A}^{2}=x^{\top} A x$. Analogous notation will be used for the induced matrix norm.

## 2 Equality constrained problems

We shall start with the problem of finding the minimizer of the quadratic function $q(x)$ subject to the linear equality constraints, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { minimize } q(x) \text { subject to } x \in \Omega_{E} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\Omega_{E}=\left\{x \in R^{n}: C x=d\right\}, C \in R^{m \times n}$, and $d \in R^{m}$. We require neither that $C$ is a full row rank matrix nor $m \leq n$, but we shall assume that $d$ belongs to the range of $C$ to guarantee that $\Omega_{E}$ is not empty. Our development is based on the augmented Lagrangian method [1] which reduces (2.1) to a sequence of the problems of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{minimize} L\left(x, \mu^{k}, \rho_{k}\right) \text { subject to } x \in R^{p} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(x, \mu^{k}, \rho_{k}\right)=q(x)+\left(\mu^{k}\right)^{T}(C x-d)+\frac{\rho_{k}}{2}\|C x-d\|^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is known as the augmented Lagrangian function, $\mu^{k}=\left(\mu_{1}^{k}, \ldots, \mu_{m}^{k}\right)^{T}$ is the vector of the Lagrange multipliers for the equality constraints, and $\rho_{k}$ is the penalty parameter. The precision of the approximate solution $x^{k}$ of the auxiliary problems will be measured by the Euclidian norm of the feasibility error and of the gradient of the augmented Lagrangian. The latter is always denoted by $g$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, \mu, \rho)=\nabla_{x} L(x, \mu, \rho)=A x-b+C^{T} \mu+\rho C^{T}(C x-d) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our algorithm with the adaptive precision control reads as follows.

## Algorithm 2.1. (Semi-monotonic augmented Lagrangians for equality constraints (SMALE)

Given $\eta>0, \beta>1, M>0, \rho_{0}>0$, and $\mu^{1} \in R^{m}$, set $k=0$.
Step 1. \{Inner iteration with adaptive precision control.\}
Find $x^{k}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g\left(x^{k}, \mu^{k}, \rho_{k}\right)\right\| \leq \min \left\{M\left\|C x^{k}-d\right\|, \eta\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2. $\{$ Update $\mu$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{k+1}=\mu^{k}+\rho_{k}\left(C x^{k}-d\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3. \{Update $\rho$ provided the increase of the Lagrangian is not sufficient.\}
If $k>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(x^{k}, \mu^{k}, \rho_{k}\right)<L\left(x^{k-1}, \mu^{k-1}, \rho_{k-1}\right)+\frac{\rho_{k}}{2}\left\|C x^{k}-d\right\|^{2} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{k+1}=\beta \rho_{k} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

else

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{k+1}=\rho_{k} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 4. Set $k=k+1$ and return to the Step 1.

In Step 1 we can use any convergent algorithm for minimizing the strictly convex quadratic function such as the conjugate gradient method [1]. Algorithm 2.1 differs from those considered by Hager [8] and Dostál, Friedlander and Santos [5] by the condition on the update of the penalization parameter in Step 3.

Algorithm 2.1 has been proved to be correctly defined and to enjoy a kind of optimal convergence of the feasibility error [2]. To present our optimality result related to the conjugate gradient implementation of Step 1 , let $\mathcal{T}$ denote any set of indices and assume that for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$ there is defined a problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{minimize} q_{t}(x) \text { s.t. } x \in \Omega_{E}^{t} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\Omega_{E}^{t}=\left\{x \in R^{n_{t}}: C_{t} x=0\right\}, q_{t}(x)=\frac{1}{2} x^{T} A_{t} x-b_{t}^{T} x, A_{t} \in R^{n_{t} \times n_{t}}$ symmetric positive definite, $C_{t} \in R^{m_{t} \times n_{t}}$, and $b_{t}, x \in R^{n_{t}}$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\left\{x_{t}^{k}\right\},\left\{\mu_{t}^{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{\rho_{t, k}\right\}$ be generated by Algorithm 2.1 for (2.10) with $\left\|b_{t}\right\| \geq$ $\eta_{t}>0, \beta>1, M>0, \rho_{t, 0}=\rho_{0}>0, \quad \mu_{t}^{0}=0$. Let $0<a_{\min }<a_{\max }$ and $0<c_{\min }<c_{\max }$ be given constants. Let Step 1 be implemented by the conjugate gradient method which generates the iterates $x_{t}^{k, 0}, x_{t}^{k, 1}, \ldots, x_{t}^{k, l}=x_{t}^{k}$ for the solution of (2.10) starting from $x_{t}^{k, 0}=x_{t}^{k-1}$ with $x_{t}^{-1}=0$, where $l=l_{k_{t}}$ is the first index satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g\left(x_{t}^{k, l}, \mu_{t}^{k}, \rho_{k}\right)\right\| \leq M\left\|C_{t} x_{t}^{k, l}\right\| \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g\left(x_{t}^{k, l}, \mu_{t}^{k}, \rho_{k}\right)\right\| \leq \epsilon\left\|b_{t}\right\| \min \{1, M\} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the class of problems (2.10) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\min } \leq \lambda_{\min }\left(A_{t}\right) \leq \lambda_{\max }\left(A_{t}\right)=\left\|A_{t}\right\| \leq a_{\max } \text { and } c_{\min } \leq \sigma_{\min }\left(C_{t}\right) \leq\left\|C_{t}\right\| \leq c_{\max } \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{\min }\left(C_{t}\right)$ denote the least nonzero singular value of $C_{t}$. Then the following statements hold:
(i) Algorithm 2.1 generates an approximate solution $x_{t}^{k_{t}}$ of any problem (2.10) which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{k}-\bar{x}\right\| \leq \epsilon\left\|b_{t}\right\| \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

at $O(1)$ matrix-vector multiplications by the Hessian of the augmented Lagrangian $L_{t}$ for (2.10). (ii) The images of the Lagrange multipliers $C^{T} \mu^{k}$ are bounded and converge to $C^{T} \bar{\mu}$, where $\bar{\mu}$ denotes any vector of Lagrange multipliers of the solution.
Proof: See [4].

We have implemented Algorithm 2.1 in Matlab and solved a class of problems of the varying dimension defined in the introduction. We solved the problem with $\eta_{t}=\left\|b_{t}\right\|, \beta=10, \rho=$ $200, M=1$ and $\mu_{0}=0$ using the stopping criterium $\left\|g_{t}(x, \mu, \rho)\right\| \leq 10^{-5}\left\|b_{t}\right\|$ and $\left\|C_{t} x\right\| \leq$ $10^{-5}\left\|b_{t}\right\|$. The results are in Table 2.1.

Table 1. Performance of the SMALE

| Equality constrains <br> =bandwidth | $c g$ <br> Dimension $n$ | Outer <br> iterations | iterations |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 200 | 25 | 4 |
| 50 | 5000 | 22 | 4 |
| 100 | 20000 | 18 | 3 |
| 250 | 125000 | 18 | 3 |
| 500 | 500000 | 17 | 3 |

We conclude that we can observe optimality in practice for well conditioned problems. More numerical experiments and theoretical results may be found in $[2,4]$.

## 3 Conclusions

Theoretical results concerning optimality of the recently proposed algorithms for equality constrained quadratic programming were presented and illustrated by numerical experiments. An interesting feature of the presented algorithm is its capability to find the approximate solution of the class of problems with the uniformly bounded spectrum of the Hessian matrix at $O(1)$ matrix-vector multiplications. No assumptions concerning regularity of solution are used and the results are valid even for linearly dependent constraints. The results may be generalized to bound and equality constrained problems $[3,6]$.
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