

Preconditioning of sequences of large, sparse and nonsymmetric linear systems

Jurjen Duintjer Tebbens
jointly with **Miroslav Tůma**

Institute of Computer Science
Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic
email: tebbens,tuma@cs.cas.cz

GAMM annual meeting, Berlin, March 28, 2006

1. Introduction to preconditioner updates

Consider a sequence of linear systems

$$\mathbf{A}^{(i)}x = b^{(i)}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where $\mathbf{A}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are nonsingular sparse matrices; $b^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Applications: Computational fluid dynamics, structural mechanics, numerical optimization, etc

Classical example: A system of nonlinear equations $F(x) = 0$ for $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ solved by a Newton or Broyden-type method. In case of the classical Newton method

$$\mathbf{J}(x_i)(x_{i+1} - x_i) = -F(x_i), \quad i = 1, \dots,$$

where $\mathbf{J}(x_i)$ is the Jacobian evaluated in the current iteration x_i or its approximation.

There is a strong need for reduction of costs by sharing some of the computational effort among the subsequent linear systems. In the context of preconditioning:

Computing preconditioners $\mathbf{M}^{(0)}, \mathbf{M}^{(1)}, \dots$ for individual systems separately, may be very expensive. A remedy is **freezing** the preconditioner: Using the same preconditioner for a sequence of linear systems (see, e.g [Brown, Saad - 1990]). This approach is very natural in the context of a matrix-free environment, where the system matrices $\mathbf{A}^{(i)}$ may be available only in the form of matrix-vector products, see also [Knoll, Keyes - 2004].

Freezing the preconditioner need not be enough. We may reuse some *additional* information from the previous linear systems. For example:

In the Newton-Krylov framework: Define preconditioners that incorporate **recycled Krylov subspaces**, see e.g. [Loghin, Ruiz, Touhami- 2004], [Parks, de Sturler, Mackey, Johnson, Maiti - 2004].

There is some recent work in **approximate preconditioner updates** with respect to the changes in the system matrix as well:

- Approximate diagonal updates of approximate inverse preconditioners for solving parabolic PDEs were proposed in [Benzi, Bertaccini - 2003], see also [Bertaccini - 2004].
- A straightforward approximate rank one update for a quasi-Newton method in the SPD case is described in [Morales, Nocedal - 2000], [Bergamaschi, Bru, Martinez, Putti - 2001].

We present new approaches to approximate updates of **general nonsymmetric** preconditioners which may be useful in solving subsequent linear systems.

We do not confine ourselves to particular classes of linear or nonlinear solvers (e.g. Krylov subspace methods, Quasi-Newton methods). We address the following 2 problems:

- How can we update, **in theory**, a preconditioner in such a way that the updated preconditioner is likely to be as powerful as the original one?
- How can we approximate, **in practice**, such an update in order to obtain a preconditioner that is inexpensive to compute and to apply?

Consider two linear systems denoted by

$$\mathbf{A}x = b \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{A}^+x^+ = b^+.$$

Denote the difference matrix $\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}^+$ by \mathbf{B} .

Let \mathbf{M} be a preconditioner approximating \mathbf{A} . We have

$$\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{M}\| = \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{A}^+ - \mathbf{A}^+\| = \|\mathbf{A}^+ - (\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{B})\|,$$

hence $\mathbf{M}^+ \equiv \mathbf{M} - \mathbf{B}$ is an updated preconditioner for \mathbf{A}^+ of the same “level” of accuracy as \mathbf{M} is for \mathbf{A} .

This “ideal” updated preconditioner cannot be used, in general, in practice since multiplication of vectors with $(\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{B})^{-1}$ may be too expensive.

There are ways, however, to approximate multiplication with $(\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{B})^{-1}$, as we will now show.

2. Proposed sparse preconditioner updates

Assume $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{LDU} \approx \mathbf{A}$, where \mathbf{L} and \mathbf{U} are lower, resp. upper triangular and have unit main diagonal.

Our approximations of the ideal update are based on the idea that often the entries of \mathbf{L} and \mathbf{U} decay when moving away from the main diagonal, see e.g. [Benzi, Tũma - 2000], [Benzi, Bertaccini - 2003]. Sufficient diagonal dominance may also be imposed if \mathbf{A} contains a strong transversal [Olschowka, Neumaier - 1996], [Duff, Koster - 1999, 2001] such that its entries can be permuted to the main diagonal. Thus we assume more or less

$$\mathbf{L} \approx \mathbf{I} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{U} \approx \mathbf{I}.$$

Then we can approximate, for example, as

$$(\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{B})^{-1} = \left(\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{DU} - \mathbf{L}^{-1}\mathbf{B}) \right)^{-1} \approx (\mathbf{DU} - \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{L}^{-1},$$

if $\mathbf{DU} - \mathbf{B}$ is nonsingular. If $\overline{\mathbf{DU} - \mathbf{B}}$ denotes a nonsingular and easily invertible approximation of $\mathbf{DU} - \mathbf{B}$, then we define \mathbf{M}^+ by

$$\mathbf{M}^+ = \mathbf{L}(\overline{\mathbf{DU} - \mathbf{B}}). \quad (1)$$

Lemma 2. Let $\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{LDU}\| = \varepsilon\|\mathbf{A}\| < \|\mathbf{B}\|$. Then the preconditioner from (1) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{A}^+ - \mathbf{M}^+\| &\leq \|\mathbf{A}^+ - \mathbf{LDU}\| \frac{\|\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{DU} - \overline{\mathbf{DU} - \mathbf{B}}) - \mathbf{B}\| + \varepsilon\|\mathbf{A}\|}{\|\mathbf{B}\| - \varepsilon\|\mathbf{A}\|} \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{A}^+ - \mathbf{LDU}\| \cdot \frac{\|\mathbf{L}\| \|\mathbf{DU} - \mathbf{B} - \overline{\mathbf{DU} - \mathbf{B}}\| + \|\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{I}\| \|\mathbf{B}\| + \varepsilon\|\mathbf{A}\|}{\|\mathbf{B}\| - \varepsilon\|\mathbf{A}\|}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we propose approximations of $\mathbf{DU} - \mathbf{B}$.

A very simple choice of $\overline{\mathbf{DU} - \mathbf{B}}$ for \mathbf{M}^+ in (1) is

$$\overline{\mathbf{DU} - \mathbf{B}} \equiv \text{triu}(\mathbf{DU} - \mathbf{B}), \quad \mathbf{M}^+ = \mathbf{L} \cdot \text{triu}(\mathbf{DU} - \mathbf{B}),$$

where *triu* denotes the upper triangle (including the main diagonal). From Lemma 2, assuming $\mathbf{L} \approx \mathbf{I}$, \mathbf{M}^+ is accurate if the upper triangle of \mathbf{B} contains an important part of the whole difference matrix \mathbf{B} . This seems to be the case if the difference matrix is rather nonsymmetric as in upwind perturbations in nonlinear convection-diffusion problems.

Model problem: The two-dimensional nonlinear convection-diffusion problem [Kelley - 1995]

$$\Delta u - Ru\nabla u = 2000x(1-x)y(1-y), \quad R = 50,$$

on the unit square, discretized by 5-point finite differences on a uniform 70x70 grid with as initial approximation the discretization of $u_0(x, y) = 0$.

A/M	LDU	L · triu(DU – B)
A⁽⁰⁾ / M⁽⁰⁾	21	21
A⁽¹⁾ / M⁽⁰⁾	29	25
A⁽²⁾ / M⁽⁰⁾	39	27
A⁽³⁾ / M⁽⁰⁾	52	25
A⁽⁴⁾ / M⁽⁰⁾	77	25
A⁽⁵⁾ / M⁽⁰⁾	80	26
A⁽⁶⁾ / M⁽⁰⁾	102	26
A⁽⁷⁾ / M⁽⁰⁾	102	27
A⁽⁸⁾ / M⁽⁰⁾	98	27
A⁽⁹⁾ / M⁽⁰⁾	101	26
A⁽¹⁰⁾ / M⁽⁰⁾	99	26
A^{(0)–(10)} / M^{(0)–(10)}	21 ± 5	—

Numbers of BiCGSTAB iterations for solving preconditioned linear systems of a nonlinear convection-diffusion problem with no updates and triangular updates, respectively. $\mathbf{M}^{(0)} = ILUT(0.1, 5)$ with $\frac{\|\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{L}\|}{\|\mathbf{L}\|} \approx 0.4$.

We considered two improvements of the update $\mathbf{L} \cdot \text{triu}(\mathbf{DU} - \mathbf{B})$:

1. Adaptive choice of $\mathbf{L} \cdot \text{triu}(\mathbf{DU} - \mathbf{B})$ or $\text{tril}(\mathbf{LD} - \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{U}$ based on $\|\text{triu}(\mathbf{B})\|$ and $\|\text{tril}(\mathbf{B})\|$ (and $\|\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{L}\|$ and $\|\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{U}\|$);
2. A strategy to approximate $\mathbf{DU} - \mathbf{B}$ by a **generally non-triangular but easily invertible matrix**. We developed an algorithm to find rows i_1, \dots, i_K with

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathbf{DU} - \mathbf{B}} &= \tilde{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{I} - \tilde{\mathbf{B}}) = \tilde{\mathbf{D}}\left(\mathbf{I} - \sum_{j=1}^K e_{i_j} \tilde{b}_{i_j*}\right) \\ &= \tilde{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{I} - e_{i_1} \tilde{b}_{i_1*})(\mathbf{I} - e_{i_2} \tilde{b}_{i_2*}) \dots (\mathbf{I} - e_{i_K} \tilde{b}_{i_K*}), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\text{diag}(\overline{\mathbf{DU} - \mathbf{B}}) \equiv \tilde{\mathbf{D}}$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{-1}(\tilde{\mathbf{D}} - \overline{\mathbf{DU} - \mathbf{B}}) \equiv \tilde{\mathbf{B}}$.

Example with adaptive choice of triangular updates:

Simulation of air flow in a tunnel at a low Mach number (provided by P. Birken):

- Von Neumann boundary conditions, Lax-Friedrichs flux
- Finite volume discretization (first order)
- ODE solved with the implicit Euler method
- Every time step yields a nonlinear system of equations that is solved with a Newton-type method
- Linear systems have dimension 4800 and have maximally 20 nonzero entries per row.

nz=138024, ILUT(0.001/5), timep=0.05, psize=135798						
A / M	Self prec		Freeze		Update	
	Its	Time	Its	Time	Its	Time
$A^{(1)} / M^{(0)}$	24	0.44	17	0.34	17	0.31
$A^{(5)} / M^{(0)}$	29	0.52	19	0.33	19	0.34
$A^{(10)} / M^{(0)}$	30	0.50	17	0.27	17	0.27
$A^{(15)} / M^{(0)}$	33	0.59	21	0.39	19	0.34
$A^{(20)} / M^{(0)}$	32	0.59	19	0.34	27	0.31
$A^{(25)} / M^{(0)}$	33	0.51	20	0.33	19	0.33
$A^{(30)} / M^{(0)}$	34	0.61	24	0.44	21	0.34
$A^{(35)} / M^{(0)}$	33	0.61	23	0.42	19	0.36
$A^{(40)} / M^{(0)}$	39	0.67	31	0.52	24	0.39
$A^{(45)} / M^{(0)}$	44	0.73	33	0.55	27	0.45
$A^{(50)} / M^{(0)}$	40	0.70	39	0.63	24	0.44
$A^{(55)} / M^{(0)}$	40	0.69	47	0.78	25	0.42
$A^{(60)} / M^{(0)}$	47	0.80	80	1.41	31	0.56
$A^{(65)} / M^{(0)}$	47	0.75	107	1.64	27	0.42
$A^{(70)} / M^{(0)}$	38	0.70	72	1.28	28	0.51
$A^{(75)} / M^{(0)}$	114	1.98	230	4.06	105	1.96

3. A future issue:

Question: Can we permute the whole sequence in such a way that we know where the dominating entries of the difference matrices \mathbf{B} are located ?

For example: We may permute the first system matrix $\mathbf{A}^{(0)}$ to **block triangular form** (see, e.g. [Pothen, Fan - 1990]):

$$\mathbf{PA}^{(0)} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \mathbf{A}_{11} & \mathbf{A}_{12} & \mathbf{A}_{13} \\ \hline 0 & \mathbf{A}_{22} & \mathbf{A}_{23} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \mathbf{A}_{33} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

If the other system-matrices have the same sparsity pattern, we can permute the whole sequence as

$$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{A}^{(i)}x = \mathbf{P}b^{(i)}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and **all** matrices will have upper triangular form.

Then clearly we can expect dominating entries of the difference matrices lay in the upper triangle and the preconditioner updates

$$\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{U} - \mathit{triu}(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{B}))$$

may be stronger than without the permutation \mathbf{P} .

In addition, the computation of the upper triangular form can be modified to take into account the **magnitude** of the entries.

More details can be found in „Preconditioner updates for solving sequences of large and sparse nonsymmetric linear systems” [Duintjer Tebbens, Tũma - to be submitted in 2006], see webpages of the authors.

Thank you for your attention.

This work is supported by the Program Information Society under project 1ET400300415.