Stopping criteria in iterative methods – a miscellaneous issue?

Zdeněk Strakoš*

and

Chris C. Paige^{*†}

*Institute of Computer Science AS CR, †McGill University, Montreal, Canada.

GAMM Annual Conference, Berlin, March 2006.

Fair comparison of the direct and iterative principle





- In order to reduce the disadvantages and profit from the advantages.
- Principal advantage of the iterative part is in stopping the computation at the desired accuracy level.
- It requires a meaningful stopping criterion. The errors of the model, discretization error and the computational error should be of the same order.
- Due to difficulties with the previous point this (potential) principal advantage is often presented as a disadvantage (a need for a stopping criteria ...).

Stopping criteria and rounding error analysis





Backward error approach



Consider a linear algebraic system Ax = b, and a computed approximation x_n . Then

$$Ax_n = b - r_n, \quad r_n = b - Ax_n.$$

Thus, $-r_n$ represents the (unique) perturbation Δb of the right hand side *b* such that x_n is the exact solution of the perturbed system.

A simple one-sided example of the perturbation theory – backward error approach.



[Goldstine, Von Neumann - 47], [Turing], [Wilkinson - 63, 65]

Perturbation theory: $(A + \Delta A) \hat{x} = b + \Delta b$.

Normwise relative backward error:

Given \hat{x} , construct ΔA , Δb such that both $\|\Delta A\|_2/\|A\|_2$ and $\|\Delta b\|_2/\|b\|_2$ are minimal;

$$\hat{x} \longrightarrow \frac{\|\Delta A\|_2}{\|A\|_2} = \frac{\|\Delta b\|_2}{\|b\|_2} = \frac{\|b - A\hat{x}\|_2}{\|b\|_2 + \|A\|_2 \|\hat{x}\|_2}$$



We ask and answer the question

"How close is the problem $(A + \Delta A) x_n = b + \Delta b$, which is solved by x_n accurately, to the original problem A x = b?"

Perhaps this is what we need – the matrix A and the right hand side b are inaccurate anyway.

Is the computed convergence curve close to the exact one?



Difference between the normwise relative backward error and the relative residual norm:

Backward error restricted to the right hand side only is given by

 $||r_n||_2/||b||_2$.

Moreover, for an unwise choice of x_0 this may differ greatly from the frequently used relative residual norm

 $||r_n||_2/||r_0||_2$.



Literature



The theory and history is given elegantly by Higham, 2nd Edn., 2002: §1.10; pp. 29–30; Chapter 7, in particular §7.1, 7.2 and 7.7;

and also by Stewart & Sun, 1990, Section III/2.3; Meurant, 1999, Section 2.7; among others —

but this is not easily accessible to non-experts.

The original **BE** references are:

Rigal & Gaches, J. Assoc. Comput. Mach. 1967, for normwise analysis (used here);

Oettli & Prager, Num. Math. 1964, for componentwise analysis.



Explained and thoroughly discussed in

```
Higham, 2nd Edn., 2002, §17.5; and in "Templates", Barrett et al., 1995, Section 4.2.
```

These ideas have been used for constructing stopping criteria for years.

For example, in Paige & Saunders, ACM Trans. Math. Software 1982, the backward error idea is used to derive a family of stopping criteria which quantify the levels of confidence in *A* and *b*, and which are implemented in the generally available software realization of the LSQR method.



General considerations, methodology and applications:

Arioli, Duff & Ruiz, SIAM J. Mat. An. Appl. 1992; Arioli, Demmel & Duff, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 1989; Chatelin & Frayssé, 1996; Kasenally & Simoncini, SIAM J. Numer. An. 1997.

Arioli, Noulard & Russo, Calcolo, 2001; Arioli, Loghin & Wathen, Numer. Math. 2005; Paige & Strakoš, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 2002; Strakoš & Liesen, ZAMM, 2005.



These ideas are not widely used by the applications community, apparently because very little attention has been paid to stopping criteria in some major numerical linear algebra or iterative methods text books, or reference books.

It would be healthy for users and also for our community if stopping criteria were considered to be fundamental parts of iterative computations, and not treated among the miscellaneous issues (or not treated at all).



An example when some more sophisticated stopping criteria may be preferable:

(Preconditioned) Conjugate gradient method for solving discretized elliptic self-adjoint PDEs,

see:

Arioli, Numer. Math. 2004; Hestenes & Stiefel, J. Res. Nat. Bur. St. 1952; Meurant, Numerical Algorithms 1999; Strakoš & Tichý, ETNA 2002; Strakoš & Tichý, BIT 2005. Meurant & Strakoš, Acta Numerica 2006;



Inaccurate data:

Normwise Backward Error Summary



Usually $A \approx \tilde{A}, \ b \approx \tilde{b}$ where \tilde{A} & \tilde{b} are ideal unknowns. Suppose we know α , β where

$$\tilde{A} = A + \delta A, \qquad \tilde{b} = b + \delta b, \\ \|\delta A\|_2 \le \alpha \|A\|_2, \qquad \|\delta b\|_2 \le \beta \|b\|_2.$$
 (*)

Justification for stopping criterion: If

$$\frac{\|b - Ax_k\|_2}{\beta \|b\|_2 + \alpha \|A\|_2 \|x_k\|_2} \le 1,$$

 $\exists \delta A_k, \delta b_k$ satisfying (*), and

$$(A + \delta A_k) x_k = b + \delta b_k.$$

 x_k the exact answer to a possible problem $\tilde{A}x_k = \tilde{b}$.



Rigal & Gaches, J. Assoc. Comp. Mach. 1967, showed: given E, f,

$$\eta_{E,f}(x_k) = \frac{\|b - Ax_k\|_2}{\|f\|_2 + \|E\|_2 \|x_k\|_2}$$
$$= \min_{\eta, \delta A, \delta b} \{\eta : (A + \delta A) \ x_k = b + \delta b, \\\|\delta A\|_2 \le \eta \|E\|_2, \ \|\delta b\|_2 \le \eta \|f\|_2 \}.$$

Take $E = \alpha A$, $f = \beta b$, and the result follows.



$$\eta'_{E,f}(x_k) = \frac{\|b - Ax_k\|_2}{\|f\|_2 + \|E\|_F \|x_k\|_2}$$
$$= \min_{\eta', \delta A, \delta b} \{\eta' : (A + \delta A) \ x_k = b + \delta b, \\\|\delta A\|_F \le \eta' \|E\|_F, \ \|\delta b\|_2 \le \eta' \|f\|_2 \}$$

gives the directly applicable NRBE' criterion based on the Frobenius matrix norm.



More details can be found in

"Modified Gram-Schmidt (MGS), Least Squares, and backward stability of MGS-GMRES" C. C. Paige, M. Rozložník, and Z. Strakoš,

to appear in SIAM J. Matrix Anal Appl.



Thank you for your kind attention!