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Consider an ill-posed square nonsingular linear algebraic system

$$
A x \approx b, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

with the right-hand side corrupted by a white noise

$$
b=b^{\text {exact }}+b^{\text {noise }} \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad\left\|b^{\text {exact }}\right\| \gg\left\|b^{\text {noise }}\right\|
$$

and the goal to approximate $x^{\text {exact }} \equiv A^{-1} b^{\text {exact }}$.

The noise level $\quad \delta_{\text {noise }} \equiv \frac{\left\|b^{\text {noise }}\right\|}{\left\|b^{\text {exact }}\right\|} \ll 1$.

## Properties (assumptions):

- matrices $A, A^{T}, A A^{T}$ have a smoothing property;
- left singular vectors $u_{j}$ of $A$ represent increasing frequencies as $j$ increases;
- the system $A x=b^{\text {exact }}$ satisfies the discrete Picard condition.


## Discrete Picard condition (DPC):

On average, the components $\left|\left(b^{\text {exact }}, u_{j}\right)\right|$ of the true right-hand side $b^{\text {exact }}$ in the left singular subspaces of $A$ decay faster than the singular values $\sigma_{j}$ of $A, j=1, \ldots, n$.

## White noise:

The components $\left|\left(b^{\text {noise }}, u_{j}\right)\right|, j=1, \ldots, n$ do not exhibit any trend.

## Problem Shaw: Noise level, Singular values, and DPC: [Hansen - Regularization Tools]




Regularization is used to suppress the effect of errors in the data and extract the essential information about the solution.

In hybrid methods, see [O'Leary, Simmons - 81], [Hansen - 98], or [Fiero, Golub Hansen, O'Leary - 97], [Kilmer, O'Leary - 01], [Kilmer, Hansen, Español - 06], [O'Leary, Simmons - 81], the outer bidiagonalization is combined with an inner regularization - e.g., truncated SVD (TSVD), or Tikhonov regularization - of the projected small problem (i.e. of the reduced model).

The bidiagonalization is stopped when the regularized solution of the reduced model matches some selected stopping criteria.

## Stopping criteria based on information from residual vectors:

A vector $\hat{x}$ is a good approximation to $x^{\text {exact }}=A^{-1} b^{\text {exact }}$ if the corresponding residual approximates the (white) noise in the data

$$
\widehat{r} \equiv b-A \hat{x} \approx b^{\text {noise }}
$$

Behavior of $\widehat{r}$ can be expressed in the frequency domain using

- discrete Fourier transform, see [Rust - 98], [Rust - 00], [Rust, O'Leary - 08], or
- discrete cosine transform, see [Hansen, Kilmer, Kjeldsen - 06], and then analyzed using statistical tools - cumulative periodograms.


## This talk:

Describe, how the noise propagates in the Golub-Kahan iterative bidiagonalization.

Under the given (quite natural) assumptions, the Golub-Kahan iterative bidiagonalization reveals the unknown noise level $\delta_{\text {noise }}$.

A similar approach can possibly be used for approximating the noise vector $b^{\text {noise }}$.
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Golub-Kahan iterative bidiagonalization (GK) of $A$ :

$$
\text { given } w_{0}=0, s_{1}=b / \beta_{1}, \quad \text { where } \quad \beta_{1}=\|b\|, \quad \text { for } \quad j=1,2, \ldots
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\alpha_{j} w_{j} & =A^{T} s_{j}-\beta_{j} w_{j-1}, & \left\|w_{j}\right\|=1 \\
\beta_{j+1} s_{j+1} & =A w_{j}-\alpha_{j} s_{j}, & & \left\|s_{j+1}\right\|=1
\end{array}
$$

Let $S_{k+1}=\left[s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k+1}\right], \quad W_{k}=\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right]$ be the associated matrices with orthonormal columns.

Denote

$$
L_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{1} & & & \\
\beta_{2} & \alpha_{2} & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & \beta_{k} & \alpha_{k}
\end{array}\right], \quad L_{k+}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
L_{k} \\
e_{k}^{T} \beta_{k+1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

the bidiagonal matrices containing the normalization coefficients. Then GK can be written in the matrix form as

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{T} S_{k} & =W_{k} L_{k}^{T} \\
A W_{k} & =\left[S_{k}, s_{k+1}\right] L_{k+}=S_{k+1} L_{k+}
\end{aligned}
$$

GK is closely related to the Lanczos tridiagonalization of the symmetric matrix $A A^{T}$ with the starting vector $s_{1}=b / \beta_{1}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
A A^{T} S_{k}=S_{k} T_{k}+\alpha_{k} \beta_{k+1} s_{k+1} e_{k}^{T} \\
T_{k}=L_{k} L_{k}^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{1}^{2} & \alpha_{1} \beta_{1} & & \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{1} & \alpha_{2}^{2}+\beta_{2}^{2} & \ddots & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \alpha_{k-1} \beta_{k} \\
& & \alpha_{k-1} \beta_{k} & \alpha_{k}^{2}+\beta_{k}^{2}
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e. the matrix $L_{k}$ from GK represents a Cholesky factor of the symmetric tridiagonal matrix $T_{k}$ from the Lanczos process.

## Approximation of the distribution function:

The Lanczos tridiagonalization of the given (SPD) matrix $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ generates at each step $k$ a non-decreasing piecewise constant distribution function $\omega^{(k)}$, with the nodes being the (distinct) eigenvalues of the Lanczos matrix $T_{k}$ and the weights $\omega_{j}^{(k)}$ being the squared first entries of the corresponding normalized eigenvectors [Hestenes, Stiefel - 52].

The distribution functions $\omega^{(k)}(\lambda), k=1,2, \ldots$ represent GaussChristoffel quadrature (i.e. minimal partial realization) approximations of the distribution function $\omega(\lambda)$, [Hestenes, Stiefel - 52], [Fischer - 96], [Meurant, Strakoš - 06].

Consider the SVD

$$
L_{k}=P_{k} \Theta_{k} Q_{k}^{T}
$$

$P_{k}=\left[p_{1}^{(k)}, \ldots, p_{k}^{(k)}\right], \quad Q_{k}=\left[q_{1}^{(k)}, \ldots, q_{k}^{(k)}\right], \quad \Theta_{k}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\theta_{1}^{(k)}, \ldots, \theta_{n}^{(k)}\right)$, with the singular values ordered in the increasing order,

$$
0<\theta_{1}^{(k)}<\ldots<\theta_{k}^{(k)}
$$

Then $T_{k}=L_{k} L_{k}^{T}=P_{k} \Theta_{k}^{2} P_{k}^{T}$ is the spectral decomposition of $T_{k}$, $\left(\theta_{\ell}^{(k)}\right)^{2}$ are its eigenvalues (the Ritz values of $A A^{T}$ ) and
$p_{\ell}^{(k)}$ its eigenvectors (which determine the Ritz vectors of $A A^{T}$ ), $\ell=1, \ldots, k$.

## Summarizing:

The GK bidiagonalization generates at each step $k$ the distribution function
$\omega^{(k)}(\lambda)$ with nodes $\left(\theta_{\ell}^{(k)}\right)^{2}$ and weights $\omega_{\ell}^{(k)}=\left|\left(p_{\ell}^{(k)}, e_{1}\right)\right|^{2}$
that approximates the distribution function
$\omega(\lambda)$ with nodes $\sigma_{j}^{2}$ and weights $\omega_{j}=\left|\left(b / \beta_{1}, u_{j}\right)\right|^{2}$,
where $\sigma_{j}^{2}, u_{j}$ are the eigenpairs of $A A^{T}$, for $j=n, \ldots, 1$.
Note that unlike the Ritz values $\left(\theta_{\ell}^{(k)}\right)^{2}$, the squared singular values $\sigma_{j}^{2}$ are enumerated in descending order.

## Discrete ill-posed problem,

the smallest node and weight in approximation of $\omega(\lambda)$ :
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GK starts with the normalized noisy right-hand side $s_{1}=b /\|b\|$. Consequently, vectors $s_{j}$ contain information about the noise.

Can this information be used to determine the level of the noise in the observation vector $b$ ?

Consider the problem Shaw from [Hansen - Regularization Tools] (computed via [A,b_exact,x] = shaw (400)) with a noisy righthand side (the noise was artificially added using the MATLAB function randn). As an example we set

$$
\delta^{\text {noise }} \equiv \frac{\left\|b^{\text {noise }}\right\|}{\left\|b^{\text {exact }}\right\|}=10^{-14}
$$

Components of several bidiagonalization vectors $s_{j}$ computed via GK with double reorthogonalization:


The first 80 spectral coefficients of the vectors $s_{j}$ in the basis of the left singular vectors $u_{j}$ of $A$ :


Using the three-term recurrences,

$$
\beta_{2} \alpha_{1} s_{2}=\alpha_{1}\left(A w_{1}-\alpha_{1} s_{1}\right)=A A^{T} s_{1}-\alpha_{1}^{2} s_{1}
$$

where $A A^{T}$ has smoothing property. The vector $s_{2}$ is a linear combination of $s_{1}$ contaminated by the noise and $A A^{T} s_{1}$ which is smooth. Therefore the contamination of $s_{1}$ by the high frequency part of the noise is transferred to $s_{2}$, while a portion of the smooth part of $s_{1}$ is substracted by orthogonalization of $s_{2}$ against $s_{1}$. The relative level of the high frequency part of noise in $s_{2}$ must be higher than in $s_{1}$.

In subsequent vectors $s_{3}, s_{4}, \ldots$ the relative level of the high frequency part of noise gradually increases, until at some point the low frequency information is projected out (iteration 18).

## Signal space - noise space diagrams:


$s_{k}$ (triangle) and $s_{k+1}$ (circle) in the signal space $\operatorname{span}\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k+1}\right\}$
(horizontal axis) and the noise space $\operatorname{span}\left\{u_{k+2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\} \quad$ (vertical axis).

The noise is amplified with the ratio $\alpha_{k} / \beta_{k+1}$ that on average (rapidly) grows with $k$, see [H., Plešinger, Strakoš - 09].

Using the SVD of $A=U \Sigma V^{T}$, GK gives for the spectral components

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1}\left(V^{T} w_{1}\right) & =\Sigma\left(U^{T} s_{1}\right) \\
\beta_{2}\left(U^{T} s_{2}\right) & =\Sigma\left(V^{T} w_{1}\right)-\alpha_{1}\left(U^{T} s_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(1 / \alpha_{1} \Sigma^{2}-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(U^{T} s_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $k=2,3, \ldots$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{k}\left(V^{T} w_{k}\right) & =\Sigma\left(U^{T} s_{k}\right)-\beta_{k}\left(V^{T} w_{k-1}\right), \\
\beta_{k+1}\left(U^{T} s_{k+1}\right) & =\Sigma\left(V^{T} w_{k}\right)-\alpha_{k}\left(U^{T} s_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since dominance in $\Sigma\left(U^{T} s_{k}\right)$ and ( $V^{T} w_{k-1}$ ) is shifted by one component, in $\alpha_{k}\left(V^{T} w_{k}\right)=\Sigma\left(U^{T} s_{k}\right)-\beta_{k}\left(V^{T} w_{k-1}\right)$, one can not expect a significant cancelation, and therefore

$$
\alpha_{k} \approx \beta_{k}
$$

Whereas $\Sigma\left(V^{T} w_{k}\right)$ and ( $U^{T} s_{k}$ ) do exhibit dominance in the direction of the same components. If this dominance is strong enough, then the required orthogonality of $s_{k+1}$ and $s_{k}$ in $\beta_{k+1}\left(U^{T} s_{k+1}\right)=\Sigma\left(V^{T} w_{k}\right)-\alpha_{k}\left(U^{T} s_{k}\right)$ can not be achieved without a significant cancelation, and one can expect

$$
\beta_{k+1} \ll \alpha_{k}
$$

Absolute values of the first 25 components of $\Sigma\left(V^{T} w_{k}\right), \alpha_{k}\left(U^{T} s_{k}\right)$,

$$
\text { and } \beta_{k+1}\left(U^{T} s_{k+1}\right) \text { for } k=7, \beta_{8} / \alpha_{7}=0.0524 \text { (left) }
$$

$$
\text { and for } k=12, \beta_{13} / \alpha_{12}=0.677 \text { (right), }
$$

$$
\text { Shaw with the noise level } \delta_{\text {noise }}=10^{-14} \text { : }
$$
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The large nodes $\sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}, \ldots$ of $\omega(\lambda)$ are well-separated (relatively to the small ones) and their weights on average decrease faster than $\sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}$, see (DPC). Therefore the large nodes essentially control the behavior of the early stages of the Lanczos process.

Depending on the noise level, the weights corresponding to smaller nodes are completely dominated by noise, i.e., there exists an index $J_{\text {noise }}$ such that

$$
\left|\left(b / \beta_{1}, u_{j}\right)\right|^{2} \approx\left|\left(b^{\text {noise }} / \beta_{1}, u_{j}\right)\right|^{2}, \quad \text { for } j \geq J_{\text {noise }}
$$

The weight of the set of the associated nodes is given by

$$
\delta^{2} \equiv \sum_{j=J_{\text {noise }}}^{n}\left|\left(b^{\text {noise }} / \beta_{1}, u_{j}\right)\right|^{2} \approx \delta_{\text {noise }}^{2}
$$

At any iteration step, the weight of $\omega^{(k)}(\lambda)$ corresponding to the smallest node $\left(\theta_{1}^{(k)}\right)^{2}$ must be larger than the sum of weights of all $\sigma_{j}^{2}$ smaller than this $\left(\theta_{1}^{(k)}\right)^{2}$, see [Karlin, Shapley - 53], [Fischer, Freund - 94]. As $k$ increases, some $\left(\theta_{1}^{(k)}\right)^{2}$ eventually approaches (or becomes smaller than) the node $\sigma_{J_{\text {noise }}}^{2}$, and its weight becomes

$$
\left|\left(p_{1}^{(k)}, e_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \approx \delta^{2} \approx \delta_{\text {noise }}^{2}
$$

The weight $\left|\left(p_{1}^{(k)}, e_{1}\right)\right|^{2}$ corresponding to the smallest Ritz value $\left(\theta_{1}^{(k)}\right)^{2}$ is strictly decreasing. At some iteration step it sharply starts to (almost) stagnate close to the squared noise level $\delta_{\text {noise }}^{2}$.

Square roots of the weights $\left|\left(p_{1}^{(k)}, e_{1}\right)\right|^{2}, k=1,2, \ldots$ (left), and the smallest node and weight in approximation of $\omega(\lambda)$ (right), Shaw with the noise level $\delta_{\text {noise }}=10^{-14}$ :



Square roots of the weights $\left|\left(p_{1}^{(k)}, e_{1}\right)\right|^{2}, k=1,2, \ldots$ (left), and the smallest node and weight in approximation of $\omega(\lambda)$ (right), Shaw with the noise level $\delta_{\text {noise }}=10^{-4}$ :



Image deblurring problem: image size $324 \times 470$ pixels, problem dimension $n=152280$, the exact solution (left) and the noisy right-hand side (right), $\delta_{\text {noise }}=3 \times 10^{-3}$ :


Square roots of the weights $\left|\left(p_{1}^{(k)}, e_{1}\right)\right|^{2}, k=1,2, \ldots$ (top) and error history of LSQR solutions (bottom):



The best LSQR reconstruction (left), $x_{41}^{\mathrm{LSQR}}$, and the corresponding componentwise error (right). GK without any reorthogonalization!
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## Noise reconstruction:

Let $k_{\text {noise }}$ be the noise revealing iteration, then

$$
\delta_{\text {noise }} \approx\left|\left(p_{1}^{\left(k_{\text {noise }}\right)}, e_{1}\right)\right|
$$

and the bidiagonalization vector $s_{k_{\text {noise }}}$ is fully dominated by the high frequency noise. Thus

$$
b^{\text {noise }} \approx\left\|b^{\text {noise }}\right\| s_{k_{\text {noise }}} \approx \beta_{1}\left|\left(p_{1}^{\left(k_{\text {noise }}\right)}, e_{1}\right)\right| s_{k_{\text {noise }}}
$$

represents an approximation of the unknown noise.

Subtracting the reconstructed noise from the noisy observation vector?

Algorithm: Given $A, b ; b^{(0)}:=b$;
for $j=1, \ldots, t$

- GK bidiagonalization of $A$ with the starting vector $b^{(j-1)}$;
- identification of the noise revealing iteration $k_{\text {noise }}$;
- $\delta^{(j-1)}:=\left|\left(p_{1}^{\left(k_{\text {noise }}\right)}, e_{1}\right)\right|$;
- $b^{\text {noise, }(j-1)}:=\beta_{1} \delta^{(j-1)} s_{k_{\text {noise }}}$; // noise approximation
- $b^{(j)}:=b^{(j-1)}-b^{\text {noise, }(j-1)}$; // correction end;

The accumulated noise approximation is

$$
\widehat{b}^{\text {noise }} \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} b^{\text {noise },(j)}
$$

Singular values of $A$, and spectral coeffs. of the original and corrected observation vector $b^{(j)}, j=1, \ldots, 5$, Shaw with the noise level $\delta_{\text {noise }}=10^{-4}$ ( $k_{\text {noise }}=10$ is fixed $)$ :


Individual components (top) and Fourier coeffs. (bottom) of $\hat{b}$ noise, Shaw with the noise level $\delta_{\text {noise }}=10^{-4}$ :


Singular values of $A$, and spectral coeffs. of the original and corrected observation vector $b^{(j)}, j=1, \ldots, 3$, Elephant image deblurring problem with $\delta_{\text {noise }}=3 \times 10^{-3}$ :

( $k_{\text {noise }}$ corresponds to the best LSQR approximation of $x$ )
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## Message:

Using GK, information about the noise can be obtained in a straightforward way.

## Future work:

- Large scale problems (determining $k_{\text {noise }}$ );
- Behavior in finite precision arithmetic (GK without reorthogonalization);
- Regularization;
- Denoising;
- Colored noise.
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