

On the role of Mathematical Fuzzy Logic in Knowledge Representation

Francesc Esteva, Lluís Godo,
IIIA - CSIC, Barcelona, Spain

The Future of Mathematical Fuzzy Logic, Prague, June 16-17, 2016

Graded formalisms from an AI perspective

- One heavily entrenched tradition in AI, especially in KRR, is to rely on Boolean logic. However, many epistemic notions in common-sense reasoning are perceived as gradual rather than all-or-nothing
- Many logical formalisms in AI designed to allow an explicit representation of quantitative or qualitative weights associated with classical or modal logical formulas

Graded formalisms from an AI perspective

- One heavily entrenched tradition in AI, especially in KRR, is to rely on Boolean logic. However, many epistemic notions in common-sense reasoning are perceived as gradual rather than all-or-nothing
- Many logical formalisms in AI designed to allow an explicit representation of quantitative or qualitative weights associated with classical or modal logical formulas
- Large variety of intended meanings of weights or degrees:
 - truth degrees
 - belief degrees,
 - preference degrees,
 - trust degrees,
 - similarity degrees
 - ...

Graded formalisms from an AI perspective

A number of weighted/graded formalisms have been developed for KRR:

- fuzzy logics, including:
 - fuzzy logic programs under various semantics
 - fuzzy description logics
- probabilistic and possibilistic uncertainty logics,
- preference logics,
- weighted computational argumentation systems,
- logics handling inconsistency degrees
- etc.

Graded formalisms from an AI perspective

A number of weighted/graded formalisms have been developed for KRR:

- fuzzy logics, including:
 - fuzzy logic programs under various semantics
 - fuzzy description logics
- probabilistic and possibilistic uncertainty logics,
- preference logics,
- weighted computational argumentation systems,
- logics handling inconsistency degrees
- etc.

But not all graded logics are fuzzy logics !

A brief landscape on

basic graded notions in KR models

- Uncertainty
- Preference
- Similarity

with special emphasis on

- Truth

A brief landscape on

Uncertainty

Uncertainty modeling is about the representation of an agent's beliefs.

A brief landscape on

Uncertainty

Uncertainty modeling is about the representation of an agent's beliefs.

Several kinds of reasons for the presence of uncertainty:

- Random variation of a class of repeatable events
- Lack of information
- Inconsistent pieces of information

A brief landscape on

Uncertainty

Uncertainty modeling is about the representation of an agent's beliefs.

Several kinds of reasons for the presence of uncertainty:

- Random variation of a class of repeatable events
- Lack of information
- Inconsistent pieces of information

Two main traditions in AI for representing uncertainty:

- The **non-graded Boolean tradition** of epistemic modal logics and exception-tolerant non-monotonic logics.
- The **graded tradition** typically relying on degrees of probability (and more generally on measures of uncertainty)

Choice of a proper scale: ordinal, finite, integer-valued, real-valued

A brief landscape on

Uncertainty

Uncertainty modeling is about the representation of an agent's beliefs.

Several kinds of reasons for the presence of uncertainty:

- Random variation of a class of repeatable events
- Lack of information
- Inconsistent pieces of information

Two main traditions in AI for representing uncertainty:

- The **non-graded Boolean tradition** of epistemic modal logics and exception-tolerant non-monotonic logics.
- The **graded tradition** typically relying on degrees of probability (and more generally on measures of uncertainty)

Choice of a proper scale: ordinal, finite, integer-valued, real-valued

Uncertainty is a **non-compositional, higher-order notion wrt truth**:
“I believe p ” (regardless whether p is true)

A brief landscape on

Preferences

The tradition in preference modeling has been to use either **order relations** (total or partial) or **numerical utility functions**

However, AI has focused on **compact logical** (à la von Wright, $\varphi P \psi$) or **graphical representations** (CP nets) of preferences on multi-dimensional domains with Boolean attributes, leading to orderings in the set of interpretations (= options, solutions, configurations)

A brief landscape on

Preferences

The tradition in preference modeling has been to use either **order relations** (total or partial) or **numerical utility functions**

However, AI has focused on **compact logical** (à la von Wright, $\varphi P \psi$) or **graphical representations** (CP nets) of preferences on multi-dimensional domains with Boolean attributes, leading to orderings in the set of interpretations (= options, solutions, configurations)

An alternative is using **weights attached to Boolean formulas** with possible **different semantics**: priorities, rewards, utilitarian desires, etc.
⇒ different orderings on interpretations

A brief landscape on

Preferences

The tradition in preference modeling has been to use either **order relations** (total or partial) or **numerical utility functions**

However, AI has focused on **compact logical** (à la von Wright, $\varphi P \psi$) or **graphical representations** (CP nets) of preferences on multi-dimensional domains with Boolean attributes, leading to orderings in the set of interpretations (= options, solutions, configurations)

An alternative is using **weights attached to Boolean formulas** with possible **different semantics**: priorities, rewards, utilitarian desires, etc.
⇒ different orderings on interpretations

When both **uncertainty and preferences** are present (like in DU), two kinds of weights:

- Weights expressing preferences of options over other ones.
- Weights expressing the likelihood of events or importance of groups of criteria.

Reasoning = optimization of a given criterion mixing uncertainty and utility.

A brief landscape on

Similarity

Similarity in reasoning is useful for:

- differentiating inside a set of objects that are found to be similar
⇒ **granulation of the universe** (rough sets, fuzzy partitions)
- taking advantage of the closeness of objects with respect to others
⇒ **extrapolation or interpolation**

A brief landscape on

Similarity

Similarity in reasoning is useful for:

- differentiating inside a set of objects that are found to be similar
⇒ **granulation of the universe** (rough sets, fuzzy partitions)
- taking advantage of the closeness of objects with respect to others
⇒ **extrapolation or interpolation**

Similarity is often a *graded notion*, especially when it is related to the idea of *distance*. It may refer:

- to a physical space, as in spatial reasoning (graded extensions of RCC, modal logic approach for upper/lower approximations), or
- to an abstract space used for describing similar situations, as in CBR (closeness between interpretations, similarity-based approximate reasoning)

A brief landscape on

Similarity

Similarity in reasoning is useful for:

- differentiating inside a set of objects that are found to be similar
⇒ **granulation of the universe** (rough sets, fuzzy partitions)
- taking advantage of the closeness of objects with respect to others
⇒ **extrapolation or interpolation**

Similarity is often a *graded notion*, especially when it is related to the idea of *distance*. It may refer:

- to a physical space, as in spatial reasoning (graded extensions of RCC, modal logic approach for upper/lower approximations), or
- to an abstract space used for describing similar situations, as in CBR (closeness between interpretations, similarity-based approximate reasoning)

Also **qualitative approaches**: using **comparative relations** (e.g. Sheremet's CSL binary modal operators), Gärdenfors' conceptual spaces for interpolation/extrapolation, or analogical proportions (Prade et al.)

A brief landscape on

Graded Truth

- Although the truth of a proposition is usually viewed as Boolean, it is a **matter of convention** (De Finetti)
- In some contexts the **truth** of a proposition (understood as its conformity with a *precise description of the state of affairs*) is a **matter of degree**: *gradual* properties like in “The room is **large**”

A brief landscape on

Graded Truth

- Although the truth of a proposition is usually viewed as Boolean, it is a **matter of convention** (De Finetti)
- In some contexts the **truth** of a proposition (understood as its conformity with a *precise description of the state of affairs*) is a **matter of degree**: *gradual* properties like in “The room is **large**”
- Presence of intermediate degrees of truth: **truth-functionality** leads to **many-valued / fuzzy logics**.
- But **most popular ones in AI** are 3-valued Kleene, 4-valued Belnap or 5-valued equilibrium logics that **deal with epistemic notions** (e.g. **ignorance, contradiction or negation as failure**), at odds with **truth-functionality** . . .

A closer look: MFL and KR

In principle MFL appears as an ideal, well-founded and deeply developed formalism to model reasoning with imprecise / gradual / incomplete information, that is pervasive in any AI real application domain.

A closer look: MFL and KR

In principle MFL appears as an ideal, well-founded and deeply developed formalism to model reasoning with imprecise / gradual / incomplete information, that is pervasive in any AI real application domain.

If this is so, it should have been much more used as a key tool in knowledge representation.

A closer look: MFL and KR

In principle MFL appears as an ideal, well-founded and deeply developed formalism to model reasoning with imprecise / gradual / incomplete information, that is pervasive in any AI real application domain.

If this is so, it should have been much more used as a key tool in knowledge representation.

But many unresolved issues from an applicative point of view, e.g.:

- How to choose among the many available systems?
- Does truth-functionality always make sense?
- Why so few papers using (mathematical) fuzzy logics for KR in main AI conferences?

A closer look: MFL and KR

Are there actually so few papers on (mathematical) fuzzy logic in AI conferences?

A closer look: MFL and KR

Are there actually so few papers on (mathematical) fuzzy logic in AI conferences?

IJCAI conferences are the top AI conferences

- 2007, 2009: no paper at all
- 2011: 3 out of 400

[Reasoning about Fuzzy Belief and Common Belief: With Emphasis on Incomparable Beliefs](#)

[Description Logics over Lattices with Multi-Valued Ontologies](#)

[Finite-Valued Lukasiewicz Modal Logic is PSPACE-Complete](#)

- 2013: 2 out of 413

[Positive Subsumption in Fuzzy EL with General t-Norms](#)

[Syntactic Labelled Tableaux for Łukasiewicz Fuzzy ALC](#)

- 2015: 1 out of 572 papers

[The Complexity of Subsumption in Fuzzy EL](#)

A closer look: MFL and KR

Why is so?

A closer look: MFL and KR

Why is so?

- Many-valued logics have been seriously criticized at the philosophical level because of the confusion between truth-values and degrees of belief,
—a confusion going back to pioneers including Łukasiewicz with the idea of possible as a third truth-value—

A closer look: MFL and KR

Why is so?

- Many-valued logics have been seriously criticized at the philosophical level because of the confusion between truth-values and degrees of belief,
—a confusion going back to pioneers including Łukasiewicz with the idea of possible as a third truth-value—
- Actually, due to this and the numerical flavor of fuzzy logic, there is a long tradition of mutual distrust between AI practitioners and fuzzy logic

A closer look: MFL and KR

Why is so?

- Many-valued logics have been seriously criticized at the philosophical level because of the confusion between truth-values and degrees of belief,
—a confusion going back to pioneers including Łukasiewicz with the idea of possible as a third truth-value—
- Actually, due to this and the numerical flavor of fuzzy logic, there is a long tradition of mutual distrust between AI practitioners and fuzzy logic
- Not a clear what is the added-value by moving from a two-valued to a graded, fuzzy logic model:
gain in expressivity, but usually an increase of complexity and a lack of efficient reasoning tools (like SAT, CSP, ASP, etc.)
– fuzzy description logics!

A closer look: MFL and KR

How to improve the situation and bridge gaps?

A closer look: MFL and KR

How to improve the situation and bridge gaps?

Difficult question, I can only think of some naïve proposals:

A closer look: MFL and KR

How to improve the situation and bridge gaps?

Difficult question, I can only think of some naïve proposals:

- Find AI-related applications that naturally require the use of logic formalisms with graded truth (Rosta's famous list?)

A closer look: MFL and KR

How to improve the situation and bridge gaps?

Difficult question, I can only think of some naïve proposals:

- Find AI-related applications that naturally require the use of logic formalisms with graded truth (Rosta's famous list?)
- Use MFL to encode (graded modalities that can account for) various uncertainty, preference, similarity theories; these graded modalities may be applied to Boolean formulas, yielding two-tiered logic formalisms (Petr-Carles' second layer?)

A closer look: MFL and KR

How to improve the situation and bridge gaps?

Difficult question, I can only think of some naïve proposals:

- Find AI-related applications that naturally require the use of logic formalisms with graded truth (Rosta's famous list?)
- Use MFL to encode (graded modalities that can account for) various uncertainty, preference, similarity theories; these graded modalities may be applied to Boolean formulas, yielding two-tiered logic formalisms (Petr-Carles' second layer?)
- Show how (defeasible) reasoning about knowledge, uncertainty, preferences, etc., can also be defined on top of fuzzy/gradual propositions by augmenting fuzzy logic with epistemic modalities. (MFL as a unifying formalism: Petr-Carles' third layer?)

Concluding remarks

- Personal perception: MFL does not play the role it would deserve in KRR / AI / Computer Science

Concluding remarks

- Personal perception: MFL does not play the role it would deserve in KRR / AI / Computer Science
- “We live in a golden age of computer science and computing research is transforming society as we know it. Do we view ourselves as a scientific discipline divorced from these changes, or should our theory play a major role? This is a discussion and debate the community should continue to have. ”

Concluding remarks

- Personal perception: MFL does not play the role it would deserve in KRR / AI / Computer Science
- “We live in a golden age of computer science and computing research is transforming society as we know it. Do we view ourselves as a scientific discipline divorced from these changes, or should our theory play a major role? This is a discussion and debate the community should continue to have. ”

Probably this could be a conclusion of the workshop on the future of MFL

Concluding remarks

- Personal perception: MFL does not play the role it would deserve in KRR / AI / Computer Science
- “We live in a golden age of computer science and computing research is transforming society as we know it. Do we view ourselves as a scientific discipline divorced from these changes, or should our theory play a major role? This is a discussion and debate the community should continue to have. ”

Probably this could be a conclusion of the workshop on the future of MFL

<http://blog.computationalcomplexity.org/2016/06/karp-v-wigderson-20-years-later.html>